

Page 1

	- rage r
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
3	WESTERN DIVISION
4	TERRI YOLANDA LABLANCE, )
5	) Plaintiffs, )
6	Vs. )
7	MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF )Case No. 4:19-cv-00693-BP CORRECTIONS AND CORIZON )
8	HEALTH, )
9	Defendants. )
10	*****
11	VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF MAKISA UPTON
12	TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
13	NOVEMBER 4, 2020
14	******
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 1	Page 3
1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI 3 WESTERN DIVISION 4 TERRI YOLANDA LABLANCE, ) 5 Plaintiffs, ) vs. ) 6 ) MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF )Case No. 4:19-cv-00693-BP 7 CORRECTIONS AND CORIZON ) HEALTH, ) 8 ) Defendants. ) 9 10 11 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF MAKISA UPTON 12 TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF 13 NOVEMBER 4, 2020 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A P P E A R A N C E S  For the Plaintiffs:  Mr. Ivan Nugent Krigel & Krigel, P.C. 4 4520 Main Street, Suite 700 Kansas City, MO 64111 tel: (816)756-5800  For Defendant Corizon: Mr. Michael L. Matula Ogletree Deakins 4520 Main Street, Suite 400 Kansas City, Missouri 64111 tel: (816)471-1301  For Department of Corrections:  Ms. Rachel Jag Assistant Attorney General 11 615 E. 13th Street, Suite 401 Kansas City, Missouri 64106 tel: (816)889-5000  Also Present on Zoom: Jenny Meehan  Court Reporter: Joann Renee Richardson Alaris Litigation Services Joann Renee Richardson Alaris Litigation Services T11 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, MO 63101 314) 644-2191 1-800-280-3376
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION TERRI YOLANDA LABLANCE,  Plaintiff,  Vs. ) Case# 4:19-cv-00693-BP MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND CORIZON HEALTH, ) Defendants.  VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF MAKISA UPTON, produced, sworn and examined on November 4, 2020, between the hours of 2:23 p.m. and 4:47 p.m. of that day, via videoconference, before Joann Renee Richardson, a Certified Court Reporter within and for the State of Missouri, in a certain cause now pending in the United States District Court - Western District, wherein Terri Yolanda LaBlance is the Plaintiff and Missouri Department of Corrections and Corizon Health are the Defendants; taken on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Page 4  1 INDEX 2 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE: 3 BY MR. NUGENT 6 4 ******** 5 EXHIBITS 6 EXHIBIT NOS: PAGE: 7 12 - Teresa McWhorter email 29 8 15 - Jerry Lovelace email 31 9 26 - Employee Success Guide 33 10 33 - Email from Lovelace to Almanza 77 11 36 - Terminated Employee File Checklist 39 12 37 - Defendant Corizon, LLC Answers and 38 13 Objections to Plaintiff's First 14 Interrogatories 15 39 - Email from Makisa Upton 42 16 41 - Document recommending termination 50 17 42 - Auditing Log blacked out 53 18 43 - Auditing Log 51 19 44 - Auditing Log 51 20 45 - Corrective Action Form Tammie Christopher 67 21 46 - Corrective Action Form Sterling Ream 68 22 47 - Corrective Action Form Deborah Ritter 80 24 49 - Corrective Action Form Deborah Ritter 80

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Pag	je 5	Page 7
1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and	d 1	understanding as to why you are here today?
2 between counsel for the PLAINTIFF and counsel for t	the 2	A. Yes, sir.
3 DEFENDANTS that this deposition may be taken in	3	Q. Can you hear me okay?
4 shorthand by Joann Renee Richardson, Certified Cou	ırt 4	A. Yes, sir. It's a little crackly.
5 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting	; 5	Q. I sound a little crackly?
6 and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved	d. 6	A. Yes.
7 * * * * *	7	Q. I have a little bit of a frog in my throat,
8 MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record.	8	but I don't know if it's the frog or if it's the
9 Today's date is November 4, 2020. And the time is 2	2:23 9	sound.
p.m. This is the video-recorded deposition of Makisa	10	A. I think it's the sound. I don't think it's
11 Upton in the matter of Terri Yolanda LaBlance vs.	11	the frog.
12 Missouri Department of Corrections and Corizon Hea	alth, 12	Q. All right. I will try to speak loudly. And
Case No. 4:19-cv-00693, in the United States District	13	if you can't hear me, will you ask that I repeat my
14 Court, Western District of Missouri, Western Division.	14	question?
This deposition is being held via WebEx video	15	A. Absolutely.
16 conference.	16	Q. If you don't understand a question, will you
17 The reporter's name is Joann Richardson. My	/ 17	also let me know so that I can rephrase it for you?
name is Chris Chandler. I'm the legal videographer.	18	A. Yes, sir.
19 We're here with Alaris Litigation Services. This	19	Q. Is there anyone else in the room with you?
deposition is being held via WebEx video conference	e and 20	A. No, sir.
the court reporter is not present with the witness.	21	Q. Can you tell me where you are?
Would the attorneys present please introduce	22	A. I'm in my home office in Holts Summit,
themselves, the parties that they represent, and	23	Missouri.
24 indicate that they stipulate to the terms of this	24	Q. Okay. And can you give me that address,
deposition.	25	please?
Pag	је 6	Page 8
1 MR. NUGENT: Good afternoon. Ivan Nugent	t on 1	A. 1925 Apartment A, Halifax Road, Holts Summit,
2 behalf of the Plaintiff, Terri LaBlance, and we conser		Missouri 65043.
3 to the deposition via WebEx and the court reporter a	and 3	Q. Is Holts Summit right outside of Jefferson
4 witness being in two different locations.	4	City?
5 MS. JAG: My name is Rachel Jag and I'm her	e 5	A. It is. It's just across the river.
6 on behalf of the Department of Corrections. And we	9 6	Q. Got it. Let's see. Have you had your
7 consent and stipulate to this deposition being done	by 7	deposition taken before?
8 WebEx and the witness and court reporter being in t	two 8	A. No, sir.
		7.1.110, 0
9 separate locations.	9	Q. I'm going to give you some background
<ul><li>9 separate locations.</li><li>10 MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of</li></ul>	9 10	
	10	Q. I'm going to give you some background
10 MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of	10	Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to	the 10	Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion.	the 10 11 12 13	Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know?
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion. MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the	the 10 11 12 13	Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know? A. Yes, sir.
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion. MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness? And we	the 11 12 13 14 may 14	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. If you – I think I've covered most of it</li> </ul>
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion. MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness? And we proceed.	the 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. If you I think I've covered most of it already, frankly. I've done this a couple of times</li> </ul>
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion. MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness? And we proceed. MAKISA UPTON,	the 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. If you – I think I've covered most of it already, frankly. I've done this a couple of times today, so forgive me.</li> </ul>
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion. MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness? And we proceed. MAKISA UPTON, being first duly sworn, produced and examined, test	the 11 12 13 14 15 16 ified 17	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. If you – I think I've covered most of it already, frankly. I've done this a couple of times today, so forgive me.</li> <li>A. It's all good.</li> </ul>
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion. MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness? And we proceed. MAKISA UPTON, being first duly sworn, produced and examined, test as follows:	the 11 12 13 14 15 16 iffied 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. If you – I think I've covered most of it already, frankly. I've done this a couple of times today, so forgive me.</li> <li>A. It's all good.</li> <li>Q. Oh, you took an oath to tell the truth. Do</li> </ul>
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion.  MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness? And we proceed.  MAKISA UPTON, being first duly sworn, produced and examined, test as follows:  EXAMINATION	the 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. If you – I think I've covered most of it already, frankly. I've done this a couple of times today, so forgive me.</li> <li>A. It's all good.</li> <li>Q. Oh, you took an oath to tell the truth. Do you remember that?</li> </ul>
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion. MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness? And we proceed. MAKISA UPTON, being first duly sworn, produced and examined, test as follows:  EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. NUGENT:	the 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. If you – I think I've covered most of it already, frankly. I've done this a couple of times today, so forgive me.</li> <li>A. It's all good.</li> <li>Q. Oh, you took an oath to tell the truth. Do you remember that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion. MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness? And we proceed. MAKISA UPTON, being first duly sworn, produced and examined, test as follows:  EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. NUGENT: Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Upton. My name is Ivan	the 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know? <ul> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. If you I think I've covered most of it already, frankly. I've done this a couple of times today, so forgive me.</li> <li>A. It's all good.</li> <li>Q. Oh, you took an oath to tell the truth. Do you remember that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. And I assume that you will tell the truth</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
MR. MATULA: Mike Matula on behalf of Defendant Corizon Healthcare. We also consent to deposition proceeding in this fashion.  MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness? And we proceed.  MAKISA UPTON, being first duly sworn, produced and examined, test as follows:  EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. NUGENT: Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Upton. My name is Ivan Nugent and I represent the Plaintiff, Terri	the 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to give you some background information in addition to what I've already said and I think we can get underway here quickly. If you need a break, will you let me know? <ul> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. If you – I think I've covered most of it already, frankly. I've done this a couple of times today, so forgive me.</li> <li>A. It's all good.</li> <li>Q. Oh, you took an oath to tell the truth. Do you remember that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. And I assume that you will tell the truth today.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	Page 9		Page 11
1	MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	1	Missouri.
2	record at 2:27 p.m.	2	Q. All right. What's that degree in?
3	(Off the record.)	3	A. Religious education.
4		4	Q. How long have you been in HR?
5	(Back on the record.)	5	A. Oh, gosh, over 13 years.
6	MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	6	Q. All right.
7	record. The time is 2:32 p.m.	7	A. In some form. In some form.
8	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) All right, Ms. Upton. After	8	Q. Can you briefly tell me the different roles
9	fixing our technical difficulties, I think we can	9	within HR that you've held?
10	get started now. Okay?	10	A. Uh-huh. I've been in recruiting. I've been
11	A. Yes, sir.	11	in benefits. I've been in ethics case management.
12	Q. Great. And just to recap, you are under oath.	12	Q. Okay. And you're in HR for Corizon, correct?
13	And if you can't hear me or there's a technical	13	A. Yes, sir.
14	problem, can I trust that you will stop me so we can	14	Q. And are you more of a generalist, or do you
15	get it fixed?	15	have a particular role like benefits or compensation
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	or recruiting like you just mentioned?
17	Q. Awesome. Who do you work for?	17	A. I'm a generalist.
18	A. I work for Corizon Health.	18	Q. Okay. Prior to COVID-19, did you work in an
19	Q. How long have you worked for Corizon Health?	19	office?
20	A. Two years.	20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. When did you start?	21	Q. Where was the office that you reported to?
22	A. October 28th of 2018.	22	A. Jefferson City.
23	Q. Where were you working prior to joining	23	Q. How many employees are in the Jefferson City
24	Corizon?	24	office?
25	A. Napa Auto Parts.	25	A. I've never counted. I would say between 20
1	Page 10  Q. And what did you do for Napa?	1	Page 12 and 30, just guessing off the top of my head.
2	A. I was an HR manager.	2	Q. Thank you. And then do you know approximately
3	Q. Did you have the know-how?	3	how many employees are at the Chillicothe Center?
4	A. I do, yes.	4	A. Not at that site particularly. I know all of
5	Q. All right. With regard to Corizon, what were	5	the state, but I don't have them by site yet.
6	you hired to do? What was your job title?	6	Q. Okay. How many are in the state?
7	A. Human resources business partner.	7	A. We have around a thousand.
8	Q. What does that mean?	8	Q. Okay. Do you supervise any employees?
9	A. So we support our employees and our leaders.	9	A. No, sir.
	and the second s	10	
10	We support on every topic from payroll and	1 -	Q. Who do you report to?
10 11	We support on every topic from payroll and compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are	11	<ul><li>Q. Who do you report to?</li><li>A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR</li></ul>
	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.		
11	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are	11	A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR
11 12	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.	11 12	A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR VP for Corizon Health.
11 12 13	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?	11 12 13	A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR     VP for Corizon Health.     Q. Can you spell the last name, please?
11 12 13 14	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?  A. Very similar, just at a different level. So I	11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR</li> <li>VP for Corizon Health.</li> <li>Q. Can you spell the last name, please?</li> <li>A. Absolutely. H-O-J-E-R.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?  A. Very similar, just at a different level. So I managed HR for a group of employees there.	11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR</li> <li>VP for Corizon Health.</li> <li>Q. Can you spell the last name, please?</li> <li>A. Absolutely. H-O-J-E-R.</li> <li>Q. And her first name was</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?  A. Very similar, just at a different level. So I managed HR for a group of employees there.  Q. Okay. Was your move to Corizon a step up	11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR</li> <li>VP for Corizon Health.</li> <li>Q. Can you spell the last name, please?</li> <li>A. Absolutely. H-O-J-E-R.</li> <li>Q. And her first name was</li> <li>A. Barb.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?  A. Very similar, just at a different level. So I managed HR for a group of employees there.  Q. Okay. Was your move to Corizon a step up vertically?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did it come with more pay than you were	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR</li> <li>VP for Corizon Health.</li> <li>Q. Can you spell the last name, please?</li> <li>A. Absolutely. H-O-J-E-R.</li> <li>Q. And her first name was</li> <li>A. Barb.</li> <li>Q. Does she go by Barbara?</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?  A. Very similar, just at a different level. So I managed HR for a group of employees there.  Q. Okay. Was your move to Corizon a step up vertically?  A. Yes, sir.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR</li> <li>VP for Corizon Health.</li> <li>Q. Can you spell the last name, please?</li> <li>A. Absolutely. H-O-J-E-R.</li> <li>Q. And her first name was</li> <li>A. Barb.</li> <li>Q. Does she go by Barbara?</li> <li>A. She goes by Barb.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?  A. Very similar, just at a different level. So I managed HR for a group of employees there.  Q. Okay. Was your move to Corizon a step up vertically?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did it come with more pay than you were	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR</li> <li>VP for Corizon Health.</li> <li>Q. Can you spell the last name, please?</li> <li>A. Absolutely. H-O-J-E-R.</li> <li>Q. And her first name was</li> <li>A. Barb.</li> <li>Q. Does she go by Barbara?</li> <li>A. She goes by Barb.</li> <li>Q. Is her real name Barbara?</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?  A. Very similar, just at a different level. So I managed HR for a group of employees there.  Q. Okay. Was your move to Corizon a step up vertically?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did it come with more pay than you were earning Napa?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR VP for Corizon Health.  Q. Can you spell the last name, please? A. Absolutely. H-O-J-E-R. Q. And her first name was A. Barb. Q. Does she go by Barbara? A. She goes by Barb. Q. Is her real name Barbara? A. I have no idea. She's my boss. I'm not
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?  A. Very similar, just at a different level. So I managed HR for a group of employees there.  Q. Okay. Was your move to Corizon a step up vertically?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did it come with more pay than you were earning Napa?  A. A little bit, yes.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR VP for Corizon Health.  Q. Can you spell the last name, please? A. Absolutely. H-O-J-E-R. Q. And her first name was A. Barb. Q. Does she go by Barbara? A. She goes by Barb. Q. Is her real name Barbara? A. I have no idea. She's my boss. I'm not asking, not at all.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	compensation to benefits to HR allegations that are reported to us.  Q. All right. What did you do for Napa?  A. Very similar, just at a different level. So I managed HR for a group of employees there.  Q. Okay. Was your move to Corizon a step up vertically?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did it come with more pay than you were earning Napa?  A. A little bit, yes.  Q. Okay. What's your highest level of education?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I report to Barb Hojer. She's the senior HR VP for Corizon Health.  Q. Can you spell the last name, please? A. Absolutely. H-O-J-E-R. Q. And her first name was A. Barb. Q. Does she go by Barbara? A. She goes by Barb. Q. Is her real name Barbara? A. I have no idea. She's my boss. I'm not asking, not at all. Q. All right. Do you conduct any training for

	Page 13		Page 1
1 A.	I have conducted training on civil treatment.	1	Q. Is coaching considered discipline at Corizon?
2 I ha	ave conducted training on using our HR systems	2	A. No, sir.
3 for	employee database management. I've conducted	3	Q. Then, lastly, you talked about database
4 trai	nings for coaching and corrective action. I	4	management. What type of information is conveyed
5 bel	ieve that's all the good ones I can remember off	5	that training?
6 the	top of my head.	6	A. So that is our system where we put in all of
7 <b>Q</b>	. Okay. And you've been there let's see, you	7	our new-hire or current employee information, such
	rted in October of '18. So you've been there two	8	as pay, site that they work at, supervisor name,
	ars, right?	9	confidential information.
•	Yes, sir.	10	Q. Any other types of training that you're
	. How many of those trainings have you	11	responsible for?
	nducted?	12	A. Anything that was related to HR if somebody
	Oh, goodness. I would say I average at least	13	needs something. However, some of our training is
	e training a month.	14	already pre-created. So I don't create training. I
	. Okay. And who are you giving those trainings	15	just deliver.
6 to?		16	Q. Understood.
		17	A. So it's an electronic. Sorry. That's the
	It varies. Some of our training we are doing	18	-
	employees. Some we are doing for leaders. So		word I was looking for.
	epends on the training and the type of audience	19	Q. Okay. Have you delivered training to Sterling
	juired.	20	Ream?
	. When you say "leaders," are those folks that	21	A. I believe she was in my civil treatment class.
•	pervise other people?	22	Q. Do you recall how long ago that was?
	Yes, sir.	23	A. I don't. I have helped conduct trainings
	. Is the training that's given to leaders or	24	across the nation, so
25 <b>su</b> j	pervisors different than the training that's given	25	Q. What about Tammie Christopher, do you recall
	Page 14		Page 10
1 to 6	employees?	1	whether or not she has participated in civil
2 <b>A</b> .	Usually we give a little more information. Or	2	treatment?
3 info	ormation related to managing our system would not	3	A. She would have been in the employee training
4 be	something our employees would need. They don't	4	class at that time and I don't have a list of who's
5 inp	ut data, so we wouldn't require them to sit	5	done that yet or not.
6 thro	ough that.	6	Q. And then with regard to the coaching and
7 <b>Q</b>	. Understood. Can you tell me or describe to me	7	corrective action training, have you delivered that
8 <b>wh</b>	at the content is of the civil training course?	8	to Sterling Ream?
9 <b>A</b> .	Sure. Civil treatment is a course that we	9	A. No. I delivered that to mental health.
0 pro	vide that covers ADA, FMLA, discrimination, and	10	Q. What do you mean when you say you delivered
1 har	assment.	11	to mental health?
	. I think I misspoke. It's called civil	12	A. Our mental health leadership team.
	atment, not civil training.	13	Q. Okay. Do you know whether the leaders at the
	Yes, sir. Uh-huh.	14	Chillicothe facility have received coaching and
4 A.	. Thank you.	15	corrective action training?
	•	16	A. I don't know. If they have questions, they
5 <b>Q</b>	Not at all.		,,,, -
5 <b>Q</b> 6 A.		17	come to me. They haven't received it from me
5 <b>Q</b> 6 <b>A</b> 7 <b>Q</b>	. And then can you tell me what material is	17 18	come to me. They haven't received it from me.  Q. Understood. Are the materials that are
5 <b>Q</b> 6 A. 7 <b>Q</b> 8 <b>co</b>	. And then can you tell me what material is vered in the coaching and corrective action	18	Q. Understood. Are the materials that are
5 <b>Q</b> 6 <b>A</b> . 7 <b>Q</b> 8 <b>cov</b> 9 <b>trai</b>	. And then can you tell me what material is vered in the coaching and corrective action ining?	18 19	Q. Understood. Are the materials that are delivered electronic or in paper form?
5 Q 6 A. 7 Q 8 cov 9 trai 0 A.	. And then can you tell me what material is vered in the coaching and corrective action ining?  Sure. We review the policies that we have on	18 19 20	<ul><li>Q. Understood. Are the materials that are delivered electronic or in paper form?</li><li>A. A combination.</li></ul>
5 Q 6 A. 7 Q 8 co\ 9 trai 0 A. 1 cor	And then can you tell me what material is vered in the coaching and corrective action ining?  Sure. We review the policies that we have on rective action, levels, what HR is involved in,	18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Understood. Are the materials that are delivered electronic or in paper form?</li> <li>A. A combination.</li> <li>Q. Do you travel to the sites to provide the</li> </ul>
5 Q A A COV 9 train 0 A A COV 2 who	And then can you tell me what material is vered in the coaching and corrective action ining?  Sure. We review the policies that we have on rective action, levels, what HR is involved in, at HR does not have to be involved in. And then	18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Understood. Are the materials that are delivered electronic or in paper form?</li> <li>A. A combination.</li> <li>Q. Do you travel to the sites to provide the training, or is it done like we are, over Zoom?</li> </ul>
5 Q 6 A. 7 Q 8 CON 9 trai 10 A. 11 cor 12 wh	And then can you tell me what material is vered in the coaching and corrective action ining?  Sure. We review the policies that we have on rective action, levels, what HR is involved in, at HR does not have to be involved in. And then aching, we gave guidance on how to do a coaching	18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Understood. Are the materials that are delivered electronic or in paper form?</li> <li>A. A combination.</li> <li>Q. Do you travel to the sites to provide the training, or is it done like we are, over Zoom?</li> <li>A. It's been a combination of travel, training</li> </ul>
.5 Q .6 A. .7 Q .8 cov .9 trai .0 A. .1 cor .22 wh .23 coa .44 inst	And then can you tell me what material is vered in the coaching and corrective action ining?  Sure. We review the policies that we have on rective action, levels, what HR is involved in, at HR does not have to be involved in. And then	18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Understood. Are the materials that are delivered electronic or in paper form?</li> <li>A. A combination.</li> <li>Q. Do you travel to the sites to provide the training, or is it done like we are, over Zoom?</li> </ul>

	Page 17		Page 19
1	yourself in conducting excuse me, let me start	1	evidence that I can have, I review all that and
2	over.	2	determine if what interviews may need to take
3	During your two years at Corizon, what	3	place and, if so, where those need to happen: Can I
4	training have you received in conducting	4	do them virtually, do they need to see my face, do I
5	investigations?	5	need to see their face, can I do it over the phone.
6	A. My first four to six months, I spent oh, in	6	You can't always just hop in the car and drive five
7	conducting investigations?	7	hours for, you know, a 45-minute-to-an-hour
8	Q. Yes.	8	interview.
9	A. Okay. That's the right answer. I spent	9	We get statements from any employees that are
10	training with the HR person that I was replacing,	10	involved or any DOC officers that may have witnessed
11	who was taking on other roles. And then I also	11	anything if they are able to provide. That's
12	trained actually, that would be it. That would	12	working through DOC.
13	be it for investigations.	13	Then we review with the site leader as well as
14	Q. Prior to joining Corizon, had you received	14	the DO, which is the director of operations for that
15	training or education on how to conduct	15	site, the senior director of operations for the
16	investigations?	16	state, and usually the VPO to determine what next
17	A. Yes. I went through a HR training at Napa	17	steps should be taken.
18	through Wicklander-Zulawski.	18	If there are any questions, concerns, then I
19	Q. Can you spell that, please?	19	go out to my senior HR leader, Barb, and ask her for
20	A. Can I Google it? Is that okay?	20	her insight or guidance as well. If it's a clear
21	Q. How about you say it slowly so that our court	21	policy violation, if documentation supports it, we
22	reporter	22	take action as appropriate.
23	A. Wicklander-Zulawski. And I know it starts	23	Q. Thank you.
24	Z-U-L-W. And that's the best I've got for you.	24	A. Not at all.
25	Q. Okay. Thank you.	25	Q. Throughout today, I may refer back to those
	Page 18		Page 20
1	A. You're welcome.	1	steps. And I want to make sure that I have them
2	Q. After the training that you just referenced	2	written down appropriately so that when I refer back
3	prior to joining Corizon and the training you	3	to them, you and I are talking about the same step
4	received doing your onboarding at Corizon, do you		to them, you and rare taking about the same step
		4	-
5	feel like you are well-versed in how to conduct	4 5	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.
5 6	-	1	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.
	feel like you are well-versed in how to conduct employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent	5	in the investigation process. Okay?
6	employment discrimination investigations?	5 6	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after
6 7	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of	5 6 7	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.  That documentation can be gathered from those at the
6 7 8	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent	5 6 7 8	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.
6 7 8 9	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for	5 6 7 8 9	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.  That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?
6 7 8 9	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.	5 6 7 8 9	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.  That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.  That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the
6 7 8 9 10 11	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.  That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.  That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or discrimination?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation. That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are still available to you that are employed at Corizon
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or discrimination?  A. Sure. So any time I get an allegation like	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation. That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are still available to you that are employed at Corizon or the DOC; is that right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or discrimination?  A. Sure. So any time I get an allegation like that, if there's any documentation — well, first I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.  That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are still available to you that are employed at Corizon or the DOC; is that right?  A. I don't talk to DOC employees.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or discrimination?  A. Sure. So any time I get an allegation like that, if there's any documentation well, first I have to receive the allegation. Once I have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation. That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are still available to you that are employed at Corizon or the DOC; is that right?  A. I don't talk to DOC employees.  Q. Okay. Thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or discrimination?  A. Sure. So any time I get an allegation like that, if there's any documentation — well, first I have to receive the allegation. Once I have received it, then I reach out to anyone who may have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.  That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are still available to you that are employed at Corizon or the DOC; is that right?  A. I don't talk to DOC employees.  Q. Okay. Thank you.  A. I only receive written statements, if they provide them.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or discrimination?  A. Sure. So any time I get an allegation like that, if there's any documentation — well, first I have to receive the allegation. Once I have received it, then I reach out to anyone who may have documentation, who may know who was a party to it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation.  That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are still available to you that are employed at Corizon or the DOC; is that right?  A. I don't talk to DOC employees.  Q. Okay. Thank you.  A. I only receive written statements, if they
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or discrimination?  A. Sure. So any time I get an allegation like that, if there's any documentation — well, first I have to receive the allegation. Once I have received it, then I reach out to anyone who may have documentation, who may know who was a party to it.  I talk to the person that reported it if they're available. I don't always reach out if	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation. That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are still available to you that are employed at Corizon or the DOC; is that right?  A. I don't talk to DOC employees.  Q. Okay. Thank you.  A. I only receive written statements, if they provide them.  Q. Okay. I appreciate that clarification. Thank
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or discrimination?  A. Sure. So any time I get an allegation like that, if there's any documentation well, first I have to receive the allegation. Once I have received it, then I reach out to anyone who may have documentation, who may know who was a party to it.  I talk to the person that reported it if	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation. That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are still available to you that are employed at Corizon or the DOC; is that right?  A. I don't talk to DOC employees.  Q. Okay. Thank you.  A. I only receive written statements, if they provide them.  Q. Okay. I appreciate that clarification. Thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	employment discrimination investigations?  A. Oh, I don't think anyone is ever 100 percent ready, but I think I have a platform to start off of and I have teammates I can reach out to for assistance.  Q. Great. Can you describe for me the process you take in conducting an investigation when someone at Corizon complains of harassment or discrimination?  A. Sure. So any time I get an allegation like that, if there's any documentation well, first I have to receive the allegation. Once I have received it, then I reach out to anyone who may have documentation, who may know who was a party to it.  I talk to the person that reported it if they're available. I don't always reach out if they're no longer employees, or if they're on leave	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the investigation process. Okay?  A. Sure.  Q. The first thing that I heard was, after receiving the complaint, you gather documentation. That documentation can be gathered from those at the site or whoever might have some; is that fair?  A. Yes.  Q. The next step is that you interview persons that are either listed as witnesses and/or the complainant themselves, generally people who are still available to you that are employed at Corizon or the DOC; is that right?  A. I don't talk to DOC employees.  Q. Okay. Thank you.  A. I only receive written statements, if they provide them.  Q. Okay. I appreciate that clarification. Thank you.  A. Not at all.

	Page 21		Page 23
1	whether they are leadership at a site or leadership	1	not have had any involvement, but what I will be
2	at the state level. Is that accurate?	2	looking for is whether or not that information is
3	A. It always includes the state-level leadership.	3	used in the historical context that you just
4	To give a little additional clarification, I provide	4	referenced. All right?
5	the findings and I support them as they determine a	5	A. Sure. Absolutely.
6	level of corrective action.	6	Q. Approximately how many investigations have you
7	Q. Do you make any recommendations with regards	7	conducted while employed with Corizon?
8	to corrective action?	8	A. That's an excellent question. I don't know
9	A. I look at historical data and provide that,	9	that I could give you an answer. More than ten, if
10	yes, if there is any. If there's not, then we'll	10	that helps you.
11	base it on egregiousness of the behavior or the	11	Q. That really does, big-time.
12	policy violated.	12	A. Because we don't have a way of reporting
13	Q. With regards to looking at the historical	13	those, so I can't tell you how many I've done. I
14	data, does that come after you've talked to	14	don't know.
15	everybody and you have all of the universe of	15	Q. So when you say you don't have a way of
16	documents that have been provided?	16	reporting them, is that am I to understand that
17	A. It comes after I have gathered my information,	17	you all do not track the number of investigations
18	but usually I try to have that before I have that	18	conducted?
19	meeting with leadership so that if they ask the	19	A. No, sir. At this time I do not have any
20	question of, I want to go with a very low level of	20	tracking for that.
21	corrective action and somebody hit somebody, we want	21	Q. Okay. In your opinion, should Corizon track
22	to give them an appropriate	22	the number of investigations they're doing?
23	Q. Understood. And does the historical data that	23	A. In my opinion, any company doing
24	you look at come into play with regards to any	24	investigations would benefit from tracking.
25	recommendations that you might give?	25	However, it's not my decision and I would hesitate
	Page 22		Page 24
1	Page 22  A. Absolutely.	1	Page 24 to give an opinion for Corizon.
1 2	_	1 2	_
	A. Absolutely.		to give an opinion for Corizon.
2	A. Absolutely.     Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing	2	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those
2	A. Absolutely.     Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the	2	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?
2 3 4	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?	2 3 4	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?	2 3 4 5 6 7	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search — I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name prior to March 1st?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.  Q. Did you understand that question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name prior to March 1st?  A. Only when they asked for approval to backfill	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.  Q. Did you understand that question?  A. Yes. You asked if when I leave, I take what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name prior to March 1st?  A. Only when they asked for approval to backfill her position when she was leaving the company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search — I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.  Q. Did you understand that question?  A. Yes. You asked if when I leave, I take what I know with me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name prior to March 1st?  A. Only when they asked for approval to backfill her position when she was leaving the company.  Q. And that was the only context?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search — I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.  Q. Did you understand that question?  A. Yes. You asked if when I leave, I take what I know with me.  Q. As far as you are aware, does Corizon have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name prior to March 1st?  A. Only when they asked for approval to backfill her position when she was leaving the company.  Q. And that was the only context?  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search — I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.  Q. Did you understand that question?  A. Yes. You asked if when I leave, I take what I know with me.  Q. As far as you are aware, does Corizon have a process by which they secure that historical data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name prior to March 1st?  A. Only when they asked for approval to backfill her position when she was leaving the company.  Q. And that was the only context?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.  Q. Did you understand that question?  A. Yes. You asked if when I leave, I take what I know with me.  Q. As far as you are aware, does Corizon have a process by which they secure that historical data that might be helpful in conducting investigation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name prior to March 1st?  A. Only when they asked for approval to backfill her position when she was leaving the company.  Q. And that was the only context?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.  Q. Did you understand that question?  A. Yes. You asked if when I leave, I take what I know with me.  Q. As far as you are aware, does Corizon have a process by which they secure that historical data that might be helpful in conducting investigation?  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name prior to March 1st?  A. Only when they asked for approval to backfill her position when she was leaving the company.  Q. And that was the only context?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not at all.  Q. At some point I'm going to end up showing you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.  Q. Did you understand that question?  A. Yes. You asked if when I leave, I take what I know with me.  Q. As far as you are aware, does Corizon have a process by which they secure that historical data that might be helpful in conducting investigation?  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Absolutely.  Q. And are the leaders that you're discussing your recommendation with, are they aware of the historical data as you are?  A. Uh-huh. Usually I have to talk to them to get it. We don't have a database.  Q. Understood. With regards to Ms. LaBlance, how many investigations did you conduct that involved her either as a witness or a complainant?  A. Only the one I was informed of March 1st.  Q. And that was after Ms. LaBlance's employment had ended?  A. Yes, sir. That was the first time I heard her name related to a concern.  Q. Thank you. Had you heard Ms. LaBlance's name prior to March 1st?  A. Only when they asked for approval to backfill her position when she was leaving the company.  Q. And that was the only context?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Thank you.  A. Not at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to give an opinion for Corizon.  Q. What would the benefit be of tracking those investigations?  A. Well, for one, a much faster historical search rather than calling all HRBPs and asking for guidance.  Q. Having that historical search I guess let me ask it a different way.  When people leave, do they take the institutional knowledge with them when they leave the employment of Corizon?  A. Oh, absolutely.  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead, Makisa.  A. I'm sorry. Absolutely.  Q. Did you understand that question?  A. Yes. You asked if when I leave, I take what I know with me.  Q. As far as you are aware, does Corizon have a process by which they secure that historical data that might be helpful in conducting investigation?  MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the

Page 25		Page 27
Q. (By Mr. Nugent) Yes.	1	Q. Okay. Did Ms. Almanza ask or instruct you to
A. Yes, it is. And it's saved out for us to be	2	conduct an investigation into Ms. LaBlance's
able to access if we can find it, if we can find	3	complaint?
what we're looking for, if we have the right search	4	A. Well, the first question was what action do we
function, if we know where we're looking or what	5	take. And I explained that we needed to look
state we're looking in or things along those lines.	6	further into it and find out what had happened. So
I can see Missouri stuff if it's out there. I can't	7	we made the decision to go and pull documentation to
see the rest of the country for confidentiality.	8	see what could be seen and to go from there.
Q. Understood. When you say "if it's out there,"	9	Q. Did Ms. Almanza ask you what should be done?
what does that mean?	10	Is that what I heard? I'm sorry.
A. If it's stored on our database or on our	11	A. Yes, as far as corrective action.
system. Excuse me. Not a database, on our system.	12	Q. I see. I guess let me ask it this way. Were
Q. Got it. All right. I think I'm ready to look	13	you instructed to investigate or instructed to
at some documents and let's talk more specifically	14	provide a recommendation?
about Ms. LaBlance. All right?	15	A. Well, in the conversation we came to the
A. Sure.	16	conclusion to look into it and see what was there.
Q. Now, Ms. Upton, I'm going to try and get these	17	And that's when we pulled the full audit log
documents on the screen for you. There are also	18	well, the DOC pulled it and reviewed it and went
going to be times where I am using two documents at	19	through all the information.
a time. I believe I have some of those side by side	20	Q. Who asked the DOC to provide the audit log?
already, so if you need me to zoom in or zoom out,	21	A. I would assume Rhonda did. I cannot ask for
you just holler at me. All right?	22	that.
A. Sure.	23	Q. Okay. When did you start let me ask it
Q. All right. I believe you mentioned that on	24	this way first. Did you conduct an investigation
March 1st, that's when you became aware of	25	with regards to Ms. LaBlance's complaint?
Page 26		Page 28
	1	Page 28  A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of	1 2	_
		A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.	2	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?	2	<ul> <li>A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.</li> <li>Q. What was very clear?</li> <li>A. Who had been in her file.</li> </ul>
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.</li> <li>Q. What was very clear?</li> <li>A. Who had been in her file.</li> <li>Q. And then after you looked at the documentation</li> </ul>
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.</li> <li>Q. What was very clear?</li> <li>A. Who had been in her file.</li> <li>Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?</li> </ul>
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.</li> <li>Q. What was very clear?</li> <li>A. Who had been in her file.</li> <li>Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?</li> <li>A. No. At that point the documentation was clear</li> </ul>
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.</li> <li>Q. What was very clear?</li> <li>A. Who had been in her file.</li> <li>Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?</li> </ul>
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.</li> <li>Q. What was very clear?</li> <li>A. Who had been in her file.</li> <li>Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?</li> <li>A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews.</li> </ul>
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?  A. Yes. I stated they all should be reprimanded at some level.
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received communication from Ms. LaBlance that Dr. Epperson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?  A. Yes. I stated they all should be reprimanded
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received communication from Ms. LaBlance that Dr. Epperson had sent her a letter that included a picture of her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?  A. Yes. I stated they all should be reprimanded at some level.  Q. Okay. And who did you make that
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received communication from Ms. LaBlance that Dr. Epperson had sent her a letter that included a picture of her and some information from our oh, excuse me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?  A. Yes. I stated they all should be reprimanded at some level.  Q. Okay. And who did you make that recommendation to?  A. So that was a conversation again with the DO,
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received communication from Ms. LaBlance that Dr. Epperson had sent her a letter that included a picture of her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?  A. Yes. I stated they all should be reprimanded at some level.  Q. Okay. And who did you make that recommendation to?
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received communication from Ms. LaBlance that Dr. Epperson had sent her a letter that included a picture of her and some information from our oh, excuse me the DOC's database and that we needed to look into it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?  A. Yes. I stated they all should be reprimanded at some level.  Q. Okay. And who did you make that recommendation to?  A. So that was a conversation again with the DO, which was Jenny Meehan, Rhonda Almanza. I believe Cindy Schupp was in the room. And we were
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received communication from Ms. LaBlance that Dr. Epperson had sent her a letter that included a picture of her and some information from our oh, excuse me the DOC's database and that we needed to look into it.  Q. Okay. And prior to today, what documents did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?  A. Yes. I stated they all should be reprimanded at some level.  Q. Okay. And who did you make that recommendation to?  A. So that was a conversation again with the DO, which was Jenny Meehan, Rhonda Almanza. I believe Cindy Schupp was in the room. And we were discussing next steps based on what we had found
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received communication from Ms. LaBlance that Dr. Epperson had sent her a letter that included a picture of her and some information from our oh, excuse me the DOC's database and that we needed to look into it.  Q. Okay. And prior to today, what documents did you review in preparing for your deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?  A. Yes. I stated they all should be reprimanded at some level.  Q. Okay. And who did you make that recommendation to?  A. So that was a conversation again with the DO, which was Jenny Meehan, Rhonda Almanza. I believe Cindy Schupp was in the room. And we were discussing next steps based on what we had found from the audit log.
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received communication from Ms. LaBlance that Dr. Epperson had sent her a letter that included a picture of her and some information from our oh, excuse me the DOC's database and that we needed to look into it.  Q. Okay. And prior to today, what documents did you review in preparing for your deposition?  A. Went back over the documents I had provided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. I looked at who had accessed her file and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded at some level.  Q. Okay. And who did you make that recommendation to?  A. So that was a conversation again with the DO, which was Jenny Meehan, Rhonda Almanza. I believe Cindy Schupp was in the room. And we were discussing next steps based on what we had found from the audit log.  Q. All right. In reviewing the data, did you
Ms. LaBlance with regards to her complaint of individuals accessing her medical records.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. How did you become aware of that complaint?  A. I received a phone call from our VPO and I was asked to come to her office for a conversation.  Q. What does VPO stand for?  A. Vice president of operations.  Q. And who was the vice president of operations on March 1st?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Thank you. When you went to Ms. Almanza's office, what did she say to you?  A. She let me know that we had received communication from Ms. LaBlance that Dr. Epperson had sent her a letter that included a picture of her and some information from our oh, excuse me the DOC's database and that we needed to look into it.  Q. Okay. And prior to today, what documents did you review in preparing for your deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and I pulled documentation and it was very clear.  Q. What was very clear?  A. Who had been in her file.  Q. And then after you looked at the documentation that was provided, did you interview any witnesses?  A. No. At that point the documentation was clear enough that there was no need to do any interviews. It showed very clearly who had been in the file.  Q. Okay. With regard to who had been in the file, did you make any recommendations as to whether those individuals should be reprimanded or not?  A. Yes. I stated they all should be reprimanded at some level.  Q. Okay. And who did you make that recommendation to?  A. So that was a conversation again with the DO, which was Jenny Meehan, Rhonda Almanza. I believe Cindy Schupp was in the room. And we were discussing next steps based on what we had found from the audit log.

	Page 29		Page 31
1	historical information?	1	investigation in March of 2019, is it your opinion
2	Q. I'm going to try to make this larger. Give me	2	that that information wasn't helpful to the
3	one second.	3	investigation that you were conducting?
4	A. Thank you for that.	4	A. Well, I was conducting an investigation on who
5	Q. You're welcome. I'm going to start at the	5	looked into someone's file, not on any allegation of
6	very top for identification purposes. This was	6	any other behavior. So it would not have been
7	previously used in depositions and it is marked	7	pertinent to my investigation at that time.
8	Exhibit 12. I want to draw your attention to	8	Q. Okay. Give me one second. I want to bring up
9	Corizon 8 of Exhibit 12. It's a memo to Heather	9	another document here.
10	Dale, Jenny Meehan, Teresa McWhorter on August 29th	10	A. Okay.
11	of 2017. My question is, are you familiar with the	11	Q. All right. And let me identify it for record
12	contents of this memo? I'll give you a chance to	12	purposes, Ms. Upton. One second.
13	read through?	13	MR. NUGENT: So I've placed in front of the
14	A. I'm not familiar with it. I have not seen it.	14	witness what's been used in previous depositions as
15	And it would not have been something that I would	15	Deposition Exhibit 15. It's Bates-labeled Corizon 20
16	have put into the report I received because or	16	through Corizon 24.
17	the investigation on the report I received because	17	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) And, Ms. Upton, I'm going to
18	the report I received was only about someone	18	draw your attention to the bottom of Corizon 23 onto
19	accessing her DOC file.	19	the next page, which is Corizon 24. I'm going to
20	Q. And so is it, I guess, your opinion that this	20	try to make it a little bigger for you. I'd like
21	is just simply unrelated and not need to be	21	for you to read the email from Terri LaBlance to
22	considered in your investigation that was started on	22	Sterling Ream, Karen Epperson, Jerry Lovelace, Jenny
23	March 1st?	23	Meehan, and Valicia Kirby. And I'll scroll to the
24	A. I'm not sure I understand what you're asking.	24	next page when you're ready.
25	I'm not sure where this behavior in 2017, as	25	A. Okay. Go ahead. Okay. I've read it.
	Page 30		Page 32
1	inappropriate as it may be, would have had any	1	Q. Great. Did anyone make you aware of this
2			
_	bearing on two unrelated people looking into	2	complaint lodged by Ms. LaBlance?
3	bearing on two unrelated people looking into someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the	2 3	complaint lodged by Ms. LaBlance?  A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I
3	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the	3	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I
3 4	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.	3 4	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after
3 4 5	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question,	3 4 5	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't
3 4 5 6	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.	3 4 5 6	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what
3 4 5 6 7	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.	3 4 5 6 7	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.
3 4 5 6 7 8	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time	3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well.  Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?  A. The only thing I remember hearing about during	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?  A. The only thing I remember hearing about during the investigation was that when Ms. LaBlance left,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was complaining about was Judy Harkins. Do you see that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?  A. The only thing I remember hearing about during the investigation was that when Ms. LaBlance left, she seemed happy and sent out happy emails, and that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was complaining about was Judy Harkins. Do you see that there?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?  A. The only thing I remember hearing about during the investigation was that when Ms. LaBlance left, she seemed happy and sent out happy emails, and that there had been a couple of incidents that had	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was complaining about was Judy Harkins. Do you see that there?  A. I do.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?  A. The only thing I remember hearing about during the investigation was that when Ms. LaBlance left, she seemed happy and sent out happy emails, and that there had been a couple of incidents that had happened prior to my arrival with Corizon and they	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was complaining about was Judy Harkins. Do you see that there?  A. I do.  MR. NUGENT: Can we go off the record?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?  A. The only thing I remember hearing about during the investigation was that when Ms. LaBlance left, she seemed happy and sent out happy emails, and that there had been a couple of incidents that had happened prior to my arrival with Corizon and they were handled swiftly.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was complaining about was Judy Harkins. Do you see that there?  A. I do.  MR. NUGENT: Can we go off the record?  Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?  A. The only thing I remember hearing about during the investigation was that when Ms. LaBlance left, she seemed happy and sent out happy emails, and that there had been a couple of incidents that had happened prior to my arrival with Corizon and they were handled swiftly.  Q. Okay. Did you know – who told you that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was complaining about was Judy Harkins. Do you see that there?  A. I do.  MR. NUGENT: Can we go off the record?  Thank you.  MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?  A. The only thing I remember hearing about during the investigation was that when Ms. LaBlance left, she seemed happy and sent out happy emails, and that there had been a couple of incidents that had happened prior to my arrival with Corizon and they were handled swiftly.  Q. Okay. Did you know — who told you that?  A. I believe it was Jenny. It may have been	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was complaining about was Judy Harkins. Do you see that there?  A. I do.  MR. NUGENT: Can we go off the record?  Thank you.  MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	someone's DOC file. I guess I'm confused by the question.  Q. Understood. That answers the question, actually. Thank you.  A. Okay.  Q. And to confirm, that was your first time seeing that memo; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. During your investigation in March of 2019 into Ms. LaBlance's complaint, did Jenny Meehan tell you about the incident we just looked at?  A. The only thing I remember hearing about during the investigation was that when Ms. LaBlance left, she seemed happy and sent out happy emails, and that there had been a couple of incidents that had happened prior to my arrival with Corizon and they were handled swiftly.  Q. Okay. Did you know — who told you that?  A. I believe it was Jenny. It may have been Cindy, but I believe it was Jenny because that's her	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not until the concern came in and, again, I didn't know details or specifics not until after the concern came in in March. But it wasn't pertinent to what I was looking into, based on what I was told.  Q. Okay.  A. And I believe both of these incidents and I'm trying to catch a date on this one as well. Yeah, both of these incidents were prior to my employment.  Q. You are absolutely right about that.  The individual that Ms. LaBlance was complaining about was Judy Harkins. Do you see that there?  A. I do.  MR. NUGENT: Can we go off the record?  Thank you.  MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m.

	Page 33		Page 35
1	record. The time is 3:08 p.m.	1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) I've got a few more documents.	2	Q. And the second written counseling as the next
3	Let's look at Ms. Upton, I've put in front of you	3	step in the discipline chain?
4	what's been used in depositions previous to today	4	A. Yes, sir.
5	and it's been identified as Deposition exhibit 26.	5	Q. And then the next step be a final written
6	Have you seen I'm going to try to scroll through	6	warning?
7	the first couple of pages. And what I'm wondering	7	A. Yes, sir.
8	is, have you seen this document before?	8	Q. And then the last step being a recommendation
9	A. I've seen a recent version. But yes.	9	for termination?
10	Q. Okay. Where would you look to know what	10	A. Yes, sir.
11	version this one is?	11	Q. Within those four steps, I believe you said
12	A. The best way I can tell you is that the front	12	that Corizon reserves the right to skip steps.
13	page has changed and the effective date is changed,	13	A. Yes.
14	as we have a new CEO.	14	Q. All right. And then the subsection that's
15	Q. What is the effective date of the most recent	15	titled Immediate Action and/or Termination, would
16	version that you have seen?	16	you describe what this section is?
17	A. I believe 2019.	17	A. Sure. These are examples for leaders of
18	Q. Okay. Thank you.	18	things would require immediate action leading up to
19	A. No problem.	19	anywhere up to final written or termination.
20	Q. With regard to this version, which has an	20	Q. Okay.
21	effective date of June 2014, do you recall if this	21	A. It's not all-inclusive, but it does give them
22	was given to you upon your start of employment with	22	a jumping off point.
23	Corizon?	23	Q. All right. And are these actions that can be
24	A. I had one given. Again, I think it was a more	24	something less than termination?
25	recent version than this one. I think there have	25	A. Depending on the situation, potentially it
	Page 34		Page 36
1	been a couple changes since then. But yes. I have	1	could be.
2	a paper copy of it in the office.	2	Q. Okay. And then conversely, these are also
3	Q. All right. And do you know off the top of	3	actions that could lead to termination?
4	your head what the changes are between this version	4	A. Again, potentially. Depending on the
5	I have in front of you and the one that you're	5	situation, it could, yes.
6	familiar with?	6	Q. Then this next section here that's on Corizon
7	A. I'd have to look at the CEO to tell you if	7	562 it's immediately after the Immediate Action
8	that's really what changed. Other than that, I	8	and/or Termination is a section that kind of
9	would not know without a detailed review.	9	looks like it might be related to even more serious
10	Q. Understood. Thank you.	10	acts. How would you describe this section here?
11	A. Not at all.	11	A. The suspension is something that is used in
12	Q. I want to look at the Corrective Action page,	12	situations where it's an egregious action or it's
13	which is Bates-labeled Corizon 560 and looks like it	13	something that requires investigation and it's best
14	goes to 563. Would you agree with that?	14	for all involved parties for involved parties to
15	A. Yes.	15	be removed from the site temporarily.
16	Q. All right. Sometimes people refer to	16	Q. Okay. So this section here, managers, I
17	discipline models as progressive discipline models.	17	wanted to ask about. Can you explain why discipline
18	Would you characterize Corizon's corrective action	18	of managers could be different than discipline of
19	plan as a progressive discipline model?	19	employees?
20	A. Yes. However, we also have the opportunity to	20	A. Well, it could be different based on their
	skip if necessary, to skip a step. I believe it's	21	knowledge, based on their involvement as a leader
21	even in the description of the corrective action	22	and the perception they may create. It could be
22		1	
	that it is a progressive counseling plan.	23	many things, but their position holds greater
22	that it is a progressive counseling plan.  Q. Right. Would written counseling be referred	23 24	many things, but their position holds greater weight.

Page 37		Page 39
employees who work as needed. So	1	Q. All right. Question 1, the abbreviated
vho might pick up a shift if people are	2	version says, "Identify all persons who provided
out sick. Or if we're short-staffed	3	information used in answering one or more of
eason that week, they would then come	4	Plaintiff's interrogatories to you." And then it
But they do not work any set hours.	5	has a couple of subparts there. One of the answers
section, Probationary and PRN	6	is your name. Do you see that there?
n Corizon 563, it says, "Probationary and	7	A. Yes, sir.
es are subject to a modified corrective	8	Q. Do you remember which of these you provided
s which may, at the company's	9	information for?
pending on the severity of the issue,	10	A. Specifically, at this time, no. It's been a
a first written counseling, final	11	while.
ng, and/or recommendation for	12	Q. There are a couple that I want to talk about.
	13	A. Sure.
at for probationary and PRN employees	14	Q. Number 11. I'll let you read that to
the rest of the discipline	15	yourself.
for Corizon?	16	A. Okay.
second written counseling is absent	17	Q. My question, my follow-up to No. 11 is let
cess.	18	me pull up a different document. Give me one
o does that shorten the progressive	19	second.
in for PRN and probationary employees?	20	Do you see Exhibit 36 in front of you?
s it for probationary. For PRNs, we	21	A. Yes.
them once every 30 to 90 days. So if	22	Q. All right. Exhibit 36 was also previously
two days out of 60 and you have two	23	used in depositions. It is the Terminated Employee
at could make it more serious.	24	Files Checklist for Terri LaBlance. Do you see
ı the last paragraph here,	25	that?
Page 38		Page 40
Action, can you explain what this	1	A. I see that.
* '		Q. Did you fill this document out?
-		A. No, sir.
· · ·	4	Q. Okay. Is this a document that the HR
· · · · · ·	5	department at Corizon uses?
	6	A. It is a document that the sites use. Employee
	7	files are maintained at the sites. And then when an
rson into any other institution and	8	employee leaves the company for any reason, this is
	9	completed and sent in with their file by the site.
		So it is not something that myself or other HRBPs
		use.
-		Q. So this is put together by Corizon personnel
1 1		at various sites throughout the state of Missouri?
		A. Yes.
tood. Thank you. My next document,	15	Q. If there was an investigation done, would you
I'm sorry, Ms. Upton. My apologies.	16	expect to see initials in the box next to
,		investigation folder?
I. Jenny's great.	17	· · g · - · · · · - · <del>- · ·</del>
II. Jenny's great.	17 18	A. No. That would not be housed at the site. If
tument that's been provided by Corizon	18	A. No. That would not be housed at the site. If
ument that's been provided by Corizon neys. It's been previously used in	18 19	there were documents saved, that would be housed
nument that's been provided by Corizon neys. It's been previously used in as well and we've marked it as Exhibit	18 19 20	there were documents saved, that would be housed with the HRBP.
nument that's been provided by Corizon neys. It's been previously used in as well and we've marked it as Exhibit tled "Defendant Corizon, LLC's	18 19 20 21	there were documents saved, that would be housed with the HRBP.  Q. Okay. And who is the HR VP over —
nument that's been provided by Corizon neys. It's been previously used in as well and we've marked it as Exhibit tled "Defendant Corizon, LLC's d Objections to Plaintiff's First	18 19 20 21 22	there were documents saved, that would be housed with the HRBP.  Q. Okay. And who is the HR VP over —  A. BP. Excuse me. Business partner, not V.
nument that's been provided by Corizon neys. It's been previously used in as well and we've marked it as Exhibit tled "Defendant Corizon, LLC's	18 19 20 21	there were documents saved, that would be housed with the HRBP.  Q. Okay. And who is the HR VP over —
	employees who work as needed. So who might pick up a shift if people are out sick. Or if we're short-staffed reason that week, they would then come of the both section, Probationary and PRN in Corizon 563, it says, "Probationary and ees are subject to a modified corrective as which may, at the company's epending on the severity of the issue, a first written counseling, final ing, and/or recommendation for at for probationary and PRN employees in the rest of the discipline for Corizon?  second written counseling is absent cess.  To does that shorten the progressive ain for PRN and probationary employees? In the monce every 30 to 90 days. So if it is two days out of 60 and you have two at could make it more serious.  The last paragraph here,  Page 38  Action, can you explain what this is referring to?  The last paragraph here,  Page 38  Action, can you explain what that is called a gate lock or a gate stop. The receive notice of that, if we cannot are into any other institution and all their institutional access, then we different steps. That's not something my control over, but we had to have in the policy because it does happen.  So if the DOC says you can't come in with come in. Yeah, they're the boss.	employees who work as needed. So who might pick up a shift if people are out sick. Or if we're short-staffed reason that week, they would then come o. But they do not work any set hours. section, Probationary and PRN in Corizon 563, it says, "Probationary and ese are subject to a modified corrective sis which may, at the company's epending on the severity of the issue, a first written counseling, final ing, and/or recommendation for  at for probationary and PRN employees in the rest of the discipline for Corizon? second written counseling is absent cess. o does that shorten the progressive sin for PRN and probationary employees? is it for probationary. For PRNs, we them once every 30 to 90 days. So if it two days out of 60 and you have two at could make it more serious. In the last paragraph here,  Page 38  Action, can you explain what this is referring to? ely. Any time the DOC may determine the is not going to be permitted into their any longer. In the event that that is called a gate lock or a gate stop. the receive notice of that, if we cannot rson into any other institution and all their institutional access, then we of different steps. That's not something ny control over, but we had to have in the policy because it does happen. So if the DOC says you can't come in— in the policy because it does happen. So if the DOC says you can't come in— in the come in. Yeah, they're the boss.

	Page 41		Page 43
1	Q. All right. Thank you. Do you now see	1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Interrogatory 11 in front of you?	2	Q. All right. I want to start with Jenny's email
3	A. Yes, sir.	3	to you, Wednesday, March 13, 2019. She emailed you
4	Q. Did you provide information for the answer of	4	and says, "For your review and approval." What was
5	Interrogatory No. 14?	5	for your review and approval?
6	A. I believe I did, yes, because I have that data	6	A. HR is required to review and concur with all
7	in our employee our HR database of employee	7	requests for termination.
8	information.	8	Q. So was there an attachment to Jenny's email to
9	Q. All right. Have you do you know whether	9	you?
10	Kelley Chapman is white?	10	A. Yes. It was the initial sorry, I'm getting
11	A. I do not.	11	some feedback. It was the initial Request for
12	Q. Have you ever spoken to Kelley Chapman?	12	Termination document that you see there.
13	A. No, sir.	13	Q. And then this is your response in which you
14	Q. Do you know whether or not Kelley Chapman is	14	add Cindy Schupp and Rhonda Almanza. Do you see
15	still an employee of Corizon?	15	that?
16	A. I would have to pull a report.	16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Thank you. Ms. Upton, have you trained on	17	Q. "I concur with the RFT." What does RFT mean?
18	HIPAA by Corizon?	18	A. Request for Termination.
19	A. Yes, sir.	19	Q. You also say, "Please use this as the official
20	Q. I'm going to give you a chance to read over	20	copy." Were there drafts of the Recommendation for
21	18. Do you recall whether 18 was something that you	21	Termination?
22	provided information for?	22	A. There are drafts that do not have the
23	•	23	
24	A. I believe I provided some dates and times, but	24	signatures on them because we have to print it out and have it signed if we're able; however, we can
25	I don't think I provided the entire answer, no. I think that was a collaboration.	25	also use the email for concurrence as well. We try
			·
	Page 42		Page 44
1	Q. All right. So if you look at B here under No.	1	to get them signed, but if everybody like with
2	18, "Which Corizon staff accessed Plaintiff's	2	COVID, none of us are sitting in the same place, so
3	records, the dates the records were accessed, and	3	we use the email.
4	for what purpose." The answer provided says	4	Q. And with there being drafts, did any of the
5	Dr. Karen Epperson and Valicia Kirby. Were there	5	prior drafts have different language in the Details
6	more employees of Corizon than just Epperson and	6	of Current Incident and Reason for Recommending
7	Kirby that accessed Ms. LaBlance's record?	7	Termination?
8	A. There were.	8	A. That's a great question that I don't know off
9	Q. Okay. Do you know why that information is not	9	the top of my head. It's been too long since I
10	present in this answer?	10	reviewed it.
11	A. I do not.	11	Q. How would I know if there were drafts?
12	Q. Would you agree that those names should be	12	A. We would have to look and see if there were
13	here?	13	any in the emails that were sent in. I'm sure Jenny
14	A. I'm not an attorney. I'd hate to give an	14	sent it to me. I don't delete them, so it would be
15	opinion on that.	15	in my email.
16	Q. Well, if the question is identify those folks	16	Q. When this was sent to you, was it already
17	from Corizon who accessed the record and you know	17	signed?
18	that it was more than just Epperson and Kirby, it	18	A. No, sir. I get the signatures that's my
19	seems the answer is deficient, right?	19	signature on the bottom one there. That's the HR
20	A. It's an assumption on my part.	20	person.
21	Q. Okay. The next document, Ms. Upton, has been	21	Q. Okay. So where it says "HR approval," that's
22	previously used in depositions and identified as	22	your name?
23	Exhibit 39. It is Corizon 940 and - I'm sorry,	23	A. That is.
24	Corizon 490 and 491. Do you see Exhibit 39 in front	24	Q. And you sent it on March 14th. And whose name
25	of you?	25	is that

	Page 45		Page 47
1	A. That's Cindy Schupp, the DO. Rhonda was not	1	this an uncomfortable place. And based on the
2	in the office. So Cindy is able to assign in her	2	allegations we received, they were both involved.
3	stead. She is one of the three required approvers.	3	So when we looked into it and were able to confirm
4	Q. Okay. So your email was sent at 11:20 p.m.	4	that, we made the decision that termination was
5	So at night?	5	appropriate.
6	A. Yes, sir.	6	Q. When you say made an uncomfortable place, will
7	Q. When did you sign it?	7	you describe what you mean by uncomfortable place?
8	A. I would have signed it that afternoon. My	8	A. Sure. They sent an email to the I'm sorry.
9	guess would be around 5 or 6 when Cindy and I were	9	Not an email, a letter. I'm not accustomed to
10	still there working together, but I couldn't tell	10	letters anymore. Sent a letter to the former
11	you what time exactly.	11	employee and made her feel uncomfortable based on
12	Q. All right. Well, her email is 7:42 p.m.	12	what they said. And I can't remember exactly what
13	A. Well, there you go. Cindy and I must have	13	was in the letter. Something about, "Please don't
14	been working late together that night, which is not	14	contact me." And sent her that information and so
15	uncommon for us.	15	made her feel uncomfortable and like she would not
16	Q. Understood. So did you sign it between 7:42	16	be welcome back.
17	and 11:20?	17	Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not Ms. Kirby
18	A. Yes, sir. I would not send it out without my	18	talks to other employees about Ms. LaBlance's
19	signature on it.	19	Department of Corrections records?
20	Q. Understood. Well, your email shows that	20	A. I don't. Other than Dr. Epperson, I don't
21	there's an attachment. Do you see that, RFT Valicia	21	know who she spoke to.
22	Kirby?	22	Q. All right. If I understand your testimony,
23	A. Yes.	23	you don't know whether Dr. Epperson or Ms. Kirby
24	Q. Jenny's email does not show that there's an	24	talked to other employees about Ms. LaBlance's
25	attachment. So I guess my confusion is, is there a	25	Department of Corrections records?
	Page 46		Page 48
1	version of this without signatures?	1	A. Right. I only know that they spoke to each
2	A. Yes, I have it saved to my computer where I	2	other about it.
3	worked on it.	3	Q. Did you ask Ms. Kirby in particular I'm
4	Q. Okay. Did you provide that to your attorneys?	4	just talking about Ms. Kirby right now. Did you ask
5	A. I thought we provided everything that they	5	Ms. Kirby if she talked to anybody?
6	asked me to give them, but it may not be in there.	6	A. At that point we made the decision to move
7	But it's exactly the same. You literally print it	7	forward with termination based on the evidence, so
8	and sign it and send it and don't make edits. If an	8	there was no need to do interviews with her or
	edit is made after, you would have to reprint,	9	Dr. Epperson at that time.
9			
9 10		10	Q. Okav. Did you do interviews with anyone else?
	resign and date and resend.	10 11	Q. Okay. Did you do interviews with anyone else?     A. No. We determined they would be given a level
10 11	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your	11	A. No. We determined they would be given a level
10 11 12	resign and date and resend.	11 12	A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on
10 11 12 13	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?	11 12 13	A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.
10 11 12 13	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?  A. I supported it, yes.	11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?	11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> <li>A. Director of operations.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?  A. I supported it, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?	11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> <li>A. Director of operations.</li> <li>Q. And do you know who the DOO on March 13th was?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?  A. I supported it, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. Based on the policy violation and the behavior	11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> <li>A. Director of operations.</li> <li>Q. And do you know who the DOO on March 13th was?</li> <li>A. Jenny Meehan.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?  A. I supported it, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. Based on the policy violation and the behavior that Kirby and Epperson took surrounding	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> <li>A. Director of operations.</li> <li>Q. And do you know who the DOO on March 13th was?</li> <li>A. Jenny Meehan.</li> <li>Q. Here it says Jenny Meehan was notified on</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?  A. I supported it, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. Based on the policy violation and the behavior that Kirby and Epperson took surrounding  Ms. LaBlance leaving, I felt like it was an appropriate action. And speaking with my leadership	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> <li>A. Director of operations.</li> <li>Q. And do you know who the DOO on March 13th was?</li> <li>A. Jenny Meehan.</li> <li>Q. Here it says Jenny Meehan was notified on March 13, 2019. I'm going to move to a different</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?  A. I supported it, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. Based on the policy violation and the behavior that Kirby and Epperson took surrounding  Ms. LaBlance leaving, I felt like it was an appropriate action. And speaking with my leadership at the time, they agreed and we moved forward.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> <li>A. Director of operations.</li> <li>Q. And do you know who the DOO on March 13th was?</li> <li>A. Jenny Meehan.</li> <li>Q. Here it says Jenny Meehan was notified on March 13, 2019. I'm going to move to a different document now.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?  A. I supported it, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. Based on the policy violation and the behavior that Kirby and Epperson took surrounding  Ms. LaBlance leaving, I felt like it was an appropriate action. And speaking with my leadership at the time, they agreed and we moved forward.  Q. When say based on their behavior, what	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> <li>A. Director of operations.</li> <li>Q. And do you know who the DOO on March 13th was?</li> <li>A. Jenny Meehan.</li> <li>Q. Here it says Jenny Meehan was notified on March 13, 2019. I'm going to move to a different document now.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?  A. I supported it, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. Based on the policy violation and the behavior that Kirby and Epperson took surrounding Ms. LaBlance leaving, I felt like it was an appropriate action. And speaking with my leadership at the time, they agreed and we moved forward.  Q. When say based on their behavior, what behavior did Ms. Kirby take that warranted	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> <li>A. Director of operations.</li> <li>Q. And do you know who the DOO on March 13th was?</li> <li>A. Jenny Meehan.</li> <li>Q. Here it says Jenny Meehan was notified on March 13, 2019. I'm going to move to a different document now.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Would this be an appropriate</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	resign and date and resend.  Q. Understood. I assume because of your signature, you agreed with the recommendation for termination; is that accurate?  A. I supported it, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. Based on the policy violation and the behavior that Kirby and Epperson took surrounding  Ms. LaBlance leaving, I felt like it was an appropriate action. And speaking with my leadership at the time, they agreed and we moved forward.  Q. When say based on their behavior, what	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. No. We determined they would be given a level of corrective action that was appropriate, based on the DO's discussions with them at the time.</li> <li>Q. What does DOO stand for?</li> <li>A. Director of operations.</li> <li>Q. And do you know who the DOO on March 13th was?</li> <li>A. Jenny Meehan.</li> <li>Q. Here it says Jenny Meehan was notified on March 13, 2019. I'm going to move to a different document now.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>

	Page 49		Page 51
1	MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the	1	them. So I will need for you to confirm that we're
2	record. The time is 3:40 p.m.	2	looking at the same document. Okay?
3	(Off the record.)	3	A. Yes, sir.
4		4	Q. Do you see a document with the exhibit sticker
5	(Back on the record.)	5	on the bottom that says 44?
6	MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	6	A. No, sir, I see a black screen.
7	record. The time is 3:48 p.m.	7	Q. A black screen?
8	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) All right. Ms. Upton, you	8	A. Yes, sir.
9	understand you're still under oath, right?	9	Q. Do you see an Exhibit 44 now?
10	A. Yes, sir.	10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. Do you see 41 front of you?	11	Q. And is that the only document you see?
12	A. I'm sorry. Which number? 41, yes.	12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. Okay. This is a document that was used in	13	Q. Okay. Great. This is a document that was
14	previous depositions and I believe it's very similar	14	produced by the Department of Corrections and it's
15	to the document we just looked at. This one,	15	Bates-labeled MDOC1938 and MDOC1939. I want you to
16	,		note, Ms. Upton, that in the bottom corner it says
17	7 agree?		Page 1 of 2. Do you see that?
18	A. Yes, sir.	18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Approximately the same time Jenny Meehan sends	19	Q. And then the next page is 2 of 2?
20	you the email, just like with Ms. Kirby, and then	20	A. Yes, sir.
21	you respond with an attachment that is presumably	21	Q. I need to show you something different before
22	some version of the page you're looking at right	22	we can talk about it. Give me one second.
23	now; is that accurate?	23	All right. Do you see Exhibit 43?
24	A. Yes, sir.	24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. Okay. And to orient everyone, we are on,	25	Q. Exhibit 43 was previously used in the
	Page 50		Page 52
1	again, Exhibit 41. The Bates numbers are Corizon		
		1	deposition and it is Bates-labeled MDOC1940 through
2	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that	1 2	deposition and it is Bates-labeled MDOC1940 through 1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you
	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that		deposition and it is Bates-labeled MDOC1940 through 1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?
2		2	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you
2	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive	2	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?
2 3 4	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?	2 3 4	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.
2 3 4 5	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR	2 3 4 5	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had
2 3 4 5 6	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?	2 3 4 5 6 7	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?</li> <li>A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?</li> <li>A. Not that I remember.</li> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.  Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?</li> <li>A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?</li> <li>A. Not that I remember.</li> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?</li> <li>A. Page 1 and 2.</li> <li>Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?</li> <li>A. Rhonda Almanza.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did you also agree with the recommendation for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.  Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Okay. When you received Exhibit 43, did it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did you also agree with the recommendation for Dr. Epperson?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.  Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Okay. When you received Exhibit 43, did it look like this or did it look different?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did you also agree with the recommendation for Dr. Epperson?  A. I supported the termination, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?</li> <li>A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?</li> <li>A. Not that I remember.</li> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?</li> <li>A. Page 1 and 2.</li> <li>Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?</li> <li>A. Rhonda Almanza.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you received Exhibit 43, did it look like this or did it look different?</li> <li>A. I believe there was a DOC employee's name on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did you also agree with the recommendation for Dr. Epperson?  A. I supported the termination, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.  Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Okay. When you received Exhibit 43, did it look like this or did it look different?  A. I believe there was a DOC employee's name on there somewhere that was blacked out. But other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did you also agree with the recommendation for Dr. Epperson?  A. I supported the termination, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. The same reason I supported for Ms. Kirby, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.  Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Okay. When you received Exhibit 43, did it look like this or did it look different?  A. I believe there was a DOC employee's name on there somewhere that was blacked out. But other than that, it looked similar to this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did you also agree with the recommendation for Dr. Epperson?  A. I supported the termination, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. The same reason I supported for Ms. Kirby, the access and the treatment of Ms. LaBlance after the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.  Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Okay. When you received Exhibit 43, did it look like this or did it look different?  A. I believe there was a DOC employee's name on there somewhere that was blacked out. But other than that, it looked similar to this.  Q. So to confirm, Exhibit 43 is a total of four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did you also agree with the recommendation for Dr. Epperson?  A. I supported the termination, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. The same reason I supported for Ms. Kirby, the access and the treatment of Ms. LaBlance after the letter was sent, making it feel as though she might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.  Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Okay. When you received Exhibit 43, did it look like this or did it look different?  A. I believe there was a DOC employee's name on there somewhere that was blacked out. But other than that, it looked similar to this.  Q. So to confirm, Exhibit 43 is a total of four pages. At the time Ms. Almanza gave it to you, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did you also agree with the recommendation for Dr. Epperson?  A. I supported the termination, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. The same reason I supported for Ms. Kirby, the access and the treatment of Ms. LaBlance after the letter was sent, making it feel as though she might not be welcome to return.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.  Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Okay. When you received Exhibit 43, did it look like this or did it look different?  A. I believe there was a DOC employee's name on there somewhere that was blacked out. But other than that, it looked similar to this.  Q. So to confirm, Exhibit 43 is a total of four pages. At the time Ms. Almanza gave it to you, you had only seen you were only given the first two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	485 and Corizon 486. Is it safe to assume that there's a version of this saved on your hard drive without signatures?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And is that your signature where the HR approvals line is?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that Cynthia Schupp where the DO signs?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Great. And this is recommending Ms or Dr. Epperson for termination, right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Did you also agree with the recommendation for Dr. Epperson?  A. I supported the termination, yes.  Q. Why did you support it?  A. The same reason I supported for Ms. Kirby, the access and the treatment of Ms. LaBlance after the letter was sent, making it feel as though she might not be welcome to return.  Q. Understood. Ms. Upton, I have some documents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1943. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Upton, have you seen Exhibit 43 before?  A. Yes, in talking to Mr. Matula.  Q. Okay. Prior to talking to your attorney, had you seen Exhibit 43?  A. Not that I remember.  Q. All right.  A. Not in its entirety, I should clarify.  Q. Okay. What parts of Page 43 had you seen?  A. Page 1 and 2.  Q. And who provided to you Pages 1 and 2?  A. Rhonda Almanza.  Q. Okay. When you received Exhibit 43, did it look like this or did it look different?  A. I believe there was a DOC employee's name on there somewhere that was blacked out. But other than that, it looked similar to this.  Q. So to confirm, Exhibit 43 is a total of four pages. At the time Ms. Almanza gave it to you, you had only seen you were only given the first two pages; is that accurate?

Р	age 53	Page 55
right?	1	files.
A. I believe so. For confidentiality, yes.	2	Q. And is that highlighter or were you blacking
Q. Okay. In looking at the second page the	at you 3	it out?
were given, which is what we're looking at ri	ght 4	A. Epperson was highlighter on Dr. Karen
now, Page 2 of 4, can you identify the Depar	rtment of 5	Epperson's lines. The rest is blackout because I
Corrections employee?	6	was putting confidential information into an
A. I wouldn't know who it was, no. I would h	have 7	employee's file.
to look at a list of my employees and do proc	ess of 8	Q. Okay. Were there other employees outside of
elimination.	9	Epperson and Kirby that were reprimanded?
Q. Understood. I'm going to move to a diff	ferent 10	A. Yes, sir.
document. Give me one second. One mome	I	Q. Is there a copy of this type of report from
Upton. I apologize for further delay.	12	those employee files?
A. No problem.	13	A. Unfortunately, I only got the one paper copy
Q. Ms. Upton, do you see two documents s	side by 14	and I made one copy and split it between the two
side in front of you?	15	files. In retrospect, I could have handled that a
A. Yes, sir.	16	little bit differently. But, no, that is the only
Q. All right. You should have Exhibit 42 an	nd   17	copy I had. One of two copies I had, I should say.
Exhibit 43. Do you see that?	18	Q. So if you blacked out everyone here, how do we
A. Yes, sir.	19	know who recommended for discipline?
Q. All right. We have established that Exhi	bit 20	A. There is an email from me to Jenny with a list
43, the first two pages of it are what you revi		of names that after I had blacked out, I went back
However, there was a name blacked out. Ex	I	and tried to decipher whose names were on the list
was produced by your attorneys and I want t		to send for corrective action.
some understanding as to what I'm looking a	-	Q. Okay. Do you know whether you missed anyone
A. Certainly.	25	A. It is my understanding now that I did miss
Р	age 54	Page 56
Q. So on Exhibit 42 – and I'm going to zoom	nina 1	someone.
little bit the top of it says, Audit Log Search	2	Q. And how did you come to that understanding?
Results from 1/1/17 to 3/8/2019. Do you see th	n <b>at?</b> 3	A. In seeing the un-redacted version, I realized
A. Yes, sir.	4	that there's an employee that was not given
Q. And then on the Exhibit 43, Audit Log Se-	earch 5	corrective action when I compared that to the list
Results from 1/1/2017, to 3/8/2019.	6	of names that I provided to Jenny.
A. Yes, sir.	7	Q. And who was that?
Q. Then if you look under search criteria, we	<b>e</b> 8	A. Judy Harkins, I believe, is her name.
have a 20120411 on both 42 and 43. Do you s	see that? 9	Q. Will Judy Harkins be reprimanded?
have a 20120411 on both 42 and 43. Do you s		
A. Yes, sir.	10	A. I don't have an answer for that. I'm
•	10	A. I don't have an answer for that. I'm discussing that with my senior leadership and legal
A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t	10	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal
A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom	10	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal
A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t	10 11 the 12	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the
A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?	10 11 12 13 14	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time.
A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.	10 11 12 13 14 (ce 15 16	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time. Q. Do you believe that Judy Harkins should be
<ul> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So at the bottom of Exhibit 42, it looks like</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time.  Q. Do you believe that Judy Harkins should be reprimanded?
A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So at the bottom of Exhibit 42, it looks lik that's blacked out. Do you see that?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time.  Q. Do you believe that Judy Harkins should be reprimanded?  A. It's not about what I believe. It's about
<ul> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So at the bottom of Exhibit 42, it looks lik that's blacked out. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 17	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time.  Q. Do you believe that Judy Harkins should be reprimanded?  A. It's not about what I believe. It's about following policy.
<ul> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So at the bottom of Exhibit 42, it looks lik that's blacked out. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. And then there are also other markings of</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 8e 15 16 17 on the 18 r or 19	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time.  Q. Do you believe that Judy Harkins should be reprimanded?  A. It's not about what I believe. It's about following policy.  Q. Okay. Based on the policy, should Judy Harkins be reprimanded?
<ul> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So at the bottom of Exhibit 42, it looks lik that's blacked out. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. And then there are also other markings of page. I can't tell whether they are highlighter blacked out. Do you recall whether when you received it there was this additional information.</li> </ul>	10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 on the 18 19 20 on or 21	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time.  Q. Do you believe that Judy Harkins should be reprimanded?  A. It's not about what I believe. It's about following policy.  Q. Okay. Based on the policy, should Judy Harkins be reprimanded?
<ul> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So at the bottom of Exhibit 42, it looks lik that's blacked out. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. And then there are also other markings of page. I can't tell whether they are highlighter blacked out. Do you recall whether when you</li> </ul>	10 11 11 12 13 14 8e 15 16 17 on the 18 19 u 20	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time.  Q. Do you believe that Judy Harkins should be reprimanded?  A. It's not about what I believe. It's about following policy.  Q. Okay. Based on the policy, should Judy Harkins be reprimanded?  A. She should have been reprimanded at the time
<ul> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So at the bottom of Exhibit 42, it looks lik that's blacked out. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. And then there are also other markings of page. I can't tell whether they are highlighter blacked out. Do you recall whether when you received it there was this additional information.</li> </ul>	10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 on the 18 19 20 on or 21	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time.  Q. Do you believe that Judy Harkins should be reprimanded?  A. It's not about what I believe. It's about following policy.  Q. Okay. Based on the policy, should Judy Harkins be reprimanded?  A. She should have been reprimanded at the time yes.
<ul> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. All right. Lastly, if you look at the bottom of 42, Page 1 of 4 and – sorry, one second – t second page, Page 2 of 4, do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So at the bottom of Exhibit 42, it looks lik that's blacked out. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. And then there are also other markings of page. I can't tell whether they are highlighter blacked out. Do you recall whether when you received it there was this additional informatic additional coloring on the document?</li> </ul>	10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 12 13 14 20 15 16 17 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 12 11 12 11 11 12 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	discussing that with my senior leadership and legal and we will handle that as appropriate based on the severity of the action that she took at the time.  Q. Do you believe that Judy Harkins should be reprimanded?  A. It's not about what I believe. It's about following policy.  Q. Okay. Based on the policy, should Judy Harkins be reprimanded?  A. She should have been reprimanded at the time, yes.  Q. And should she be reprimanded now?

	Page 57		Page 59
1	A. I can't answer that. I can tell you that it	1	A. Yes, sir.
2	is going to be discussed with them.	2	Q. And the first I'm at the bottom of Page 2,
3	Q. If they asked for your recommendation, what	3	which would be the oldest in time of a Corizon
4	would be it be?	4	employee who accessed Ms. LaBlance's record. Would
5	A. My recommendation would be that she violated	5	you agree?
6	policy and something needs to be done.	6	A. Yes, that is my understanding.
7	Q. What level of reprimand would you recommend?	7	Q. All right. So based on what you could see
8	A. Well, having only just found out about this, I	8	back in March of 2019, Lori Switzer on April 30th of
9	haven't researched or looked any further into her	9	2018 accessed the record, right?
10	access or what she did or any further as you	10	A. Yes, sir.
11	might have asked, any historical issues that she may	11	Q. And if we are looking at it, similarly her
12	have presented. So I have not had a chance to do	12	name is one of the ones that's blacked out?
13	that.	13	A. Makes sense.
14	Q. Prior to your learning that Ms. Harkins had	14	Q. And what I can tell you, Ms. Upton, is, I have
15	accessed Ms. LaBlance's records, do you know whether	15	all of the reprimands for people and Ms. Switzer was
16	or not Ms. Harkins reported to anyone that she had?	16	not reprimanded. Do you know why?
17	A. I do not.	17	A. I don't believe she was still employed at the
18	Q. Is this your handwriting where my cursor is?	18	time this took place.
19	A. I believe that is actually Rhonda's. I don't	19	Q. Understood. When you were doing your
20	write my D's like that.	20	investigation, did you call Ms. Switzer?
21	Q. Rhonda who?	21	A. No.
22	A. Almanza.	22	Q. Okay. Why not?
23	Q. Okay. And was that writing on this document	23	A. I did not conduct any interviews based on the
24	when she handed it to you?	24	data I had provided. It was not necessary. It's
25	A. Yes.	25	they did it.
	Page 58		Page 60
1	Q. And what was written under the blacked out	1	Q. Does it matter to you when someone accessed
2	portion here?	2	Ms. LaBlance's record?
3	A. I don't remember exactly. I know we went	3	A. Not to my knowledge, it shouldn't matter.
4	through and identified who else had looked and made	4	Q. Okay.
5	notes. I might be able to read I'm sure the top	5	A. If they were an employee, it shouldn't matter.
6	three letters are Chillicothe because we were	6	Q. And so if that person is no longer an
7	identifying Chillicothe employees. Beyond that, I	7	employee, but they accessed the record, do you think
8	couldn't tell you.	8	that that person should be told that Corizon knows
9	Q. Do you know how you missed Judy Harkins?	9	that they did access the record?
10	A. I sent out that corrective action for all the	10	MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the
11	following employees that were not Dr. Epperson and	11	question.
12	Ms. Kirby after this had been done and was trying to	12	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) You can answer, Ms. Upton.
13	do what you just saw I was trying to do there, which	13	A. I'm not sure why I would call an employee who
14	was go back and read and try not to miss anybody.	14	is no longer employed about an investigation that
	And clearly I missed somebody.	15	was just discovered.
15	Q. Can you see what is written here at the top?	16	Q. Okay. Even though the investigation is
15 16		1	related to HIPAA concerns?
	A. I can't read it, no, sir.	17	
16	•	18	A. There's no action I can take against that
16 17	A. I can't read it, no, sir.		A. There's no action I can take against that employee at this time.
16 17 18	<ul><li>A. I can't read it, no, sir.</li><li>Q. Okay. What about here, either of these two?</li></ul>	18	_
16 17 18 19	<ul><li>A. I can't read it, no, sir.</li><li>Q. Okay. What about here, either of these two?</li><li>A. I'm sorry, I cannot read it.</li></ul>	18 19	employee at this time.
16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I can't read it, no, sir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about here, either of these two?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I cannot read it.</li> <li>Q. So then let's look at the clean version, which</li> </ul>	18 19 20	employee at this time.  Q. Are there responsibilities that Corizon has to
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I can't read it, no, sir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about here, either of these two?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I cannot read it.</li> <li>Q. So then let's look at the clean version, which should be on the right-hand side. Do you see that?</li> </ul>	18 19 20 21	employee at this time.  Q. Are there responsibilities that Corizon has to protect individuals whose medical information has
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I can't read it, no, sir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about here, either of these two?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I cannot read it.</li> <li>Q. So then let's look at the clean version, which should be on the right-hand side. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>	18 19 20 21 22	employee at this time.  Q. Are there responsibilities that Corizon has to protect individuals whose medical information has been accessed?

	Page 61		Page 63
1	MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the	1	me concern. That's why we handled it the way we
2	question, vague. And calling for a legal conclusion.	2	handled it.
3	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) Ms. Upton, do you understand	3	Q. Okay. Let's look at the two pages you did not
4	the question?	4	receive. Do you see the Megan Meyer entry June 12th
5	A. I'm not sure I understand what you're asking	5	of 2017?
6	as far as Corizon's responsibility to contact former	6	A. Uh-huh.
7	employees.	7	Q. Yes?
8	Q. So I'll be a bit more direct with it. Even	8	A. Yes, sir. Sorry.
9	though Ms. Switzer wasn't an employee of Corizon at	9	Q. It's okay. So that is on Page 4 of 4?
10	the time that you found out she accessed a medical	10	A. Uh-huh.
11	record, are there any obligations to let Ms. Switzer	11	Q. And then on Page 3 of 4, the last entry is
12	know that she violated HIPAA, even though she's not	12	Sterling Ream of December 9, 2017. Do you see that?
13	an employee?	13	A. Yes, sir.
14	A. Not to my knowledge would I be required to	14	Q. My confusion is this: If you were given the
15	contact her, no.	15	first two pages, how did you know to reprimand
16	Q. Understood. And would that go for all	16	Sterling Ream?
17	individuals that are on this list that don't work	17	A. I don't remember exactly how that came about,
18	for Corizon anymore?	18	but what I do remember is that I believe she told us
19	A. As far as I understand it, yes.	19	that she had looked at it at some point, when she
20	Q. Okay. Was it any concern of yours that if	20	heard we were doing these corrective actions.
21	we see here, Lori Switzer was April 30th of 2018 and	21	Q. Okay. Do you remember for certain that that's
22	that was about nine months prior to Ms. LaBlance's	22	what she did?
23	separation from Corizon. Does that concern you at	23	A. Not 100 percent. It's been a long time since
24	all?	24	we looked at all of this and talked to all these
25	A. I had nothing reported prior. I had no	25	to the folks we talked to.
	Page 62		Page 64
1	knowledge of any issues prior. So, no, it didn't	1	Q. Let's see here. I want you to look at Page 4
2	concern me with the date. It just concerned me that	2	of 4 here.
3	it happened.	3	A. Okay.
4	Q. Okay. On the two pages that you have	4	Q. Starts with Carol Holloway and goes down to
_			G. Starts with Carol Holloway and goes down to
5	A. Uh-huh.	5	Megan Meyer.
5 6	A. Uh-huh.     Q. — there are nine different Corizon employees	5 6	
			Megan Meyer.
6	Q there are nine different Corizon employees	6	Megan Meyer. A. Yes, sir.
6 7	Q. — there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019	6 7	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still
6 7 8	Q. — there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of	6 7 8	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.
6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. — there are nine different Corizon employees</li> <li>between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019</li> <li>that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of</li> <li>Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with
6 7 8 9	Q. — there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the	6 7 8 9 10	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was
6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. — there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?	6 7 8 9 10 11	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. — there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?  A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go.  Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. — there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?  A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go.  Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go?  A. Policy violation.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. – there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?</li> <li>A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.</li> <li>Q. Okay. My question is related to the number of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go.  Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go?  A. Policy violation.  Q. What was that policy violation?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. – there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?</li> <li>A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.</li> <li>Q. Okay. My question is related to the number of Corizon employees who accessed it between April of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go.  Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go?  A. Policy violation.  Q. What was that policy violation?  A. I would have to go back and look. I can, but
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. – there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?</li> <li>A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.</li> <li>Q. Okay. My question is related to the number of Corizon employees who accessed it between April of 2018 and February of 2019.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Megan Meyer. A. Yes, sir. Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge. A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go. Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go? A. Policy violation. Q. What was that policy violation? A. I would have to go back and look. I can, but it would take a minute.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. – there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?</li> <li>A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.</li> <li>Q. Okay. My question is related to the number of Corizon employees who accessed it between April of 2018 and February of 2019.</li> <li>A. I'm concerned any time a record is accessed.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Megan Meyer. A. Yes, sir. Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge. A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go. Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go? A. Policy violation. Q. What was that policy violation? A. I would have to go back and look. I can, but it would take a minute. Q. So Deb Ritter on June 13th looked at
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. — there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?</li> <li>A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.</li> <li>Q. Okay. My question is related to the number of Corizon employees who accessed it between April of 2018 and February of 2019.</li> <li>A. I'm concerned any time a record is accessed.</li> <li>I don't understand the difference the timeframe</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Megan Meyer. A. Yes, sir. Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge. A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go. Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go? A. Policy violation. Q. What was that policy violation? A. I would have to go back and look. I can, but it would take a minute. Q. So Deb Ritter on June 13th looked at Ms. LaBlance's information. Do you see that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. – there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?</li> <li>A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.</li> <li>Q. Okay. My question is related to the number of Corizon employees who accessed it between April of 2018 and February of 2019.</li> <li>A. I'm concerned any time a record is accessed. I don't understand the difference the timeframe makes.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Megan Meyer. A. Yes, sir. Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge. A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go. Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go? A. Policy violation. Q. What was that policy violation? A. I would have to go back and look. I can, but it would take a minute. Q. So Deb Ritter on June 13th looked at Ms. LaBlance's information. Do you see that? A. Yes. Of 2017.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. – there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?</li> <li>A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.</li> <li>Q. Okay. My question is related to the number of Corizon employees who accessed it between April of 2018 and February of 2019.</li> <li>A. I'm concerned any time a record is accessed. I don't understand the difference the timeframe makes.</li> <li>Q. I understand that. But what I'm talking about</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go.  Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go?  A. Policy violation.  Q. What was that policy violation?  A. I would have to go back and look. I can, but it would take a minute.  Q. So Deb Ritter on June 13th looked at Ms. LaBlance's information. Do you see that?  A. Yes. Of 2017.  Q. And then Deb Ritter did it again on February
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. – there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?</li> <li>A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.</li> <li>Q. Okay. My question is related to the number of Corizon employees who accessed it between April of 2018 and February of 2019.</li> <li>A. I'm concerned any time a record is accessed. I don't understand the difference the timeframe makes.</li> <li>Q. I understand that. But what I'm talking about is just the sheer number of Corizon employees, not</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go.  Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go?  A. Policy violation.  Q. What was that policy violation?  A. I would have to go back and look. I can, but it would take a minute.  Q. So Deb Ritter on June 13th looked at Ms. LaBlance's information. Do you see that?  A. Yes. Of 2017.  Q. And then Deb Ritter did it again on February 22, 2019?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. – there are nine different Corizon employees between April 30th of 2018 and February 22nd of 2019 that accessed Ms. LaBlance's Department of Corrections records. Did that cause you any concern about the number of employees who accessed the record?</li> <li>A. It would have, absolutely. Accessing the record is a concern.</li> <li>Q. Okay. My question is related to the number of Corizon employees who accessed it between April of 2018 and February of 2019.</li> <li>A. I'm concerned any time a record is accessed.</li> <li>I don't understand the difference the timeframe makes.</li> <li>Q. I understand that. But what I'm talking about is just the sheer number of Corizon employees, not the timeframe. Does the fact that nine employees,</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Megan Meyer.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And tell me if any of these individuals still work for Corizon, to the best of your knowledge.  A. I would have to pull a report to look, with the exception of Deb Ritter who only recently was let go.  Q. Why was Deb Ritter let go?  A. Policy violation.  Q. What was that policy violation?  A. I would have to go back and look. I can, but it would take a minute.  Q. So Deb Ritter on June 13th looked at Ms. LaBlance's information. Do you see that?  A. Yes. Of 2017.  Q. And then Deb Ritter did it again on February 22, 2019?  A. Yes, sir.

	Page 65		Page 67
1	number of pages they looked at, their behavior made	1	on the environment that Ms. LaBlance was working in?
2	it to the point that Ms. LaBlance would not feel	2	MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the
3	comfortable coming back.	3	question. Go ahead.
4	Q. Did the fact that Ms. Ritter looked at it	4	A. I don't know that that would have played a
5	twice weigh in on the recommendation to discipline	5	major part because I don't see any racial contention
6	her?	6	based on what they're looking at here. What I see
7	A. So I believe if you scroll back down to hers,	7	here is someone accessing a file they should not be
8	it was not in something I was given.	8	accessing. There was no allegation of that. I do
9	Q. Would that have been helpful?	9	not see a behavior here that would pertain to that.
10	A. All of this information would have been very	10	Ms. LaBlance did not report that to me. She did not
11	helpful.	11	come forward to me.
12	Q. And let's see, in looking at the number of	12	So would it have played into the corrective
13	employees that accessed Ms. LaBlance's record from	13	action given to the individuals involved?
14	June of 2017 to February of 2019, in addition to her	14	Potentially, yes. Would it have changed how I
15	complaint about the racial slur and her complaint	15	investigated this? Possibly. That's retrospect.
16	about Judy Harkins, having all of that information	16	Q. Understood. Thank you. I've got a couple
17	now, does that impact your opinion of what was going	17	more documents I want to show you, Ms. Upton.
18	on?	18	A. Sure.
19	MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the	19	Q. Do you see two documents side by side?
20	question. Vague.	20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) Do you understand my question?	21	Q. And the one on the left is Exhibit 41. Do you
22	A. Not 100 percent. Can you maybe ask it again?	22	see that?
23	Q. Yeah, I sure can. When you were given	23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Exhibit 43, you were only given the first two pages,	24	Q. And then on the right. Exhibit 45?
25	right?	25	A. Yes, sir.
	Page 66		Page 68
1	A. Yes, sir.	1	Q. Okay. On the left you have the second page of
2	Q. So today you've now seen additional	2	Exhibit 41, which is Corizon 46?
3	information that wasn't given to you when you were	3	A. Yes, sir.
4	making your analysis, right?	4	Q. Can you see the Details of Current Incident
5	A. Yes, sir.	5	clearly?
6	Q. And part of your analysis was also to give	6	A. I can read it mostly.
7	recommendations?	7	Q. Okay. I can try to make it bigger.
8	A. Yes, sir.	8	A. Just forgive me for being right up in the
9	Q. In addition, I've shown you now the memo	9	computer screen.
			•
10	related to a racial slur that was used in front of	10	Q. You're fine. You're fine. Here's what I want
	related to a racial slur that was used in front of	10 11	
10	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?		Q. You're fine. You're fine. Here's what I want you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.
10 11 12	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.	11	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.
10 11 12 13	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email	11 12 13	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.
10 11 12	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.	11 12	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on the right is Tammie Christopher's first
10 11 12 13 14	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email that Ms. LaBlance wrote to Jenny Meehan. Do you	11 12 13 14	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.
10 11 12 13 14 15	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email that Ms. LaBlance wrote to Jenny Meehan. Do you remember that?	11 12 13 14 15	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, Sir.  Q. And on the right is Tammie Christopher's first written counseling. Would you read to yourself the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email that Ms. LaBlance wrote to Jenny Meehan. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.	11 12 13 14 15 16	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on the right is Tammie Christopher's first written counseling. Would you read to yourself the Details of Current Incident paragraphs on both of
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email that Ms. LaBlance wrote to Jenny Meehan. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. My question is, when you did this	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on the right is Tammie Christopher's first written counseling. Would you read to yourself the Details of Current Incident paragraphs on both of those? And I'm going to ask you some questions.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email that Ms. LaBlance wrote to Jenny Meehan. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. My question is, when you did this investigation into Epperson and Kirby, earlier you testified you didn't feel a need to have looked at	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on the right is Tammie Christopher's first written counseling. Would you read to yourself the Details of Current Incident paragraphs on both of those? And I'm going to ask you some questions. Okay?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email that Ms. LaBlance wrote to Jenny Meehan. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. My question is, when you did this investigation into Epperson and Kirby, earlier you testified you didn't feel a need to have looked at the racial slur investigation packet or the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on the right is Tammie Christopher's first written counseling. Would you read to yourself the Details of Current Incident paragraphs on both of those? And I'm going to ask you some questions. Okay?  A. I'm sorry, I'm getting some feedback. You want me to read both of them?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email that Ms. LaBlance wrote to Jenny Meehan. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. My question is, when you did this investigation into Epperson and Kirby, earlier you testified you didn't feel a need to have looked at the racial slur investigation packet or the complaint filed by Ms. LaBlance, right?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on the right is Tammie Christopher's first written counseling. Would you read to yourself the Details of Current Incident paragraphs on both of those? And I'm going to ask you some questions. Okay?  A. I'm sorry, I'm getting some feedback. You want me to read both of them?  Q. Yes, to yourself. And then let me know when
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email that Ms. LaBlance wrote to Jenny Meehan. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. My question is, when you did this investigation into Epperson and Kirby, earlier you testified you didn't feel a need to have looked at the racial slur investigation packet or the complaint filed by Ms. LaBlance, right?  A. Right. I wouldn't have known about it. There	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on the right is Tammie Christopher's first written counseling. Would you read to yourself the Details of Current Incident paragraphs on both of those? And I'm going to ask you some questions. Okay?  A. I'm sorry, I'm getting some feedback. You want me to read both of them?  Q. Yes, to yourself. And then let me know when you're ready.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	related to a racial slur that was used in front of Ms. LaBlance. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. We've also looked at the complaint in email that Ms. LaBlance wrote to Jenny Meehan. Do you remember that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. My question is, when you did this investigation into Epperson and Kirby, earlier you testified you didn't feel a need to have looked at the racial slur investigation packet or the complaint filed by Ms. LaBlance, right?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you to do. On the left is Dr. Epperson and her recommendation for termination.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on the right is Tammie Christopher's first written counseling. Would you read to yourself the Details of Current Incident paragraphs on both of those? And I'm going to ask you some questions. Okay?  A. I'm sorry, I'm getting some feedback. You want me to read both of them?  Q. Yes, to yourself. And then let me know when

	Page 69		Page 71
1	A. Yes. I recommended that they receive	1	report?
2	corrective action and take training.	2	A. I was I did. I don't remember exactly how
3	Q. Okay. If I'm looking at these two documents,	3	that went. I just made sure I had what I needed
4	what's the difference between what Karen Epperson	4	pertinent to the investigation we were conducting.
5	did and what Tammie Christopher did?	5	Q. Okay. Do you remember what she said?
6	A. So the difference isn't reflected in what they	6	A. I don't.
7	did in these. The documents have different purpose.	7	Q. Did it cause you any concern that you only had
8	Q. All right. What is the different purpose?	8	two of four pages?
9	A. The purpose of a Recommendation for	9	A. Not at that time, based on what we were
10	Termination goes to leadership. It does not go to	10	looking into.
11	the employee to provide any type of correction. A	11	Q. Looking back on it now, does it cause you any
12	corrective action is provided to the employee and	12	concern that you didn't have those two pages?
13	they are specifically given instruction on how they	13	A. Now I would very much have liked to have had
14	made an error and how to correct it for the future,	14	all four pages.
15	as well as any expectations that will be received	15	Q. And why is that?
16	going forward.	16	A. There's information there that could pertain
17	So Requests for Terminations are typically	17	to others who needed to have some training, at the
18	extremely brief and to the point, whereas corrective	18	very least, if not corrective action.
19	actions, first, second and final are more verbose,	19	Q. Okay. In our conversations you mentioned that
20	for lack of a better word.	20	Judy Harkins was somebody that was left off. I
21	Q. Is it important that the reasons for	21	guess my question is this: When you realized you
22	recommending termination are accurate?	22	had blacked out the names but needed to go back and
23	A. Yes.	23	figure out who was there, did you have any concern
24	Q. Is it important that the Details of Current	24	that you might have left someone off then?
25	Incident that goes to an employee are accurate?	25	A. At the time I did not. I thought I had gotten
	Page 70		Page 72
1	A. Are you speaking on the Request for	1	everyone we discussed.
2	Termination or on the corrective action?	2	Q. Okay. One thing I'm wondering, Ms. Upton, is
3	Q. Frankly, both.	3	with the number of individuals who accessed
4	A. The Request for Termination is not provided to	4	LaBlance's records, looking back on it now, do you
5	the employee.	5	think it's worth knowing why those individuals were
6	Q. Is it important that it's accurate?	6	doing it?
7	A. Yes, sir.	7	A. I'm not sure I understand. I mean, why they
8	Q. And then what about the document that is	8	looked at the record or why they found out? Or what
9	provided to the employee?	9	are you asking?
10	A. Yes, sir.	10	Q. Yeah, why they looked at it.
11	Q. It's important that it's accurate, too, right?	11	A. It's a policy violation. And so at the time
12	A. Yes, sir.	12	and now, it would still be seen as a policy
13	Q. All right. Ms. Upton, you were doing your	13	violation if you're looking at it for any reason. I
14	investigation in March of 2019. Were you made aware	14	would handle it as a policy violation unless there
15	of whether or not Department of Correction officers	15	was evidence to support an alternative concern.
16	had accessed Ms. LaBlance's DOC records?	16	Q. Do you know whether or not LaBlance was the
17	A. Again, the only one I knew was the employee	17	only African American employee at Chillicothe while
18	that was blocked off, but I didn't know who for	18	she was there?
4.0	certain or what	19	A. I have been told that since she left.
19	Q. Do you know whether or not someone from	20	Q. Okay. If you add that factor into everything
20	Corizon made the Department of Corrections aware	21	we know now with regards to the four pages of
	Conzon made the Department of Confections aware	1	
20	that one of their employees had done something also?	22	Corizon employees looking at her record, does that
20 21	·	22 23	cause you any concern?
20 21 22	that one of their employees had done something also?		

	Page 73		Page 75
1	MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the	1	looked at in on February 11th?
2 qı	uestion. Go ahead.	2	A. I asked him why he was on the audit log. It
3	A. The same amount of concern I would have for	3	didn't occur to me to ask about the date
4 a	ny employee whose record was accessed	4	specifically. And he stated that he was asked to
5 ir	nappropriately. Every employee has a right to	5	check and see what he could see.
6 p	rivacy.	6	Q. Okay. By who?
7	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) Regardless of race?	7	A. I don't know. I don't remember.
8	A. Absolutely.	8	Q. Well, did you take notes about let me ask a
9	Q. I'm going to go back to the list. I forgot to	9	different question.
10 <b>a</b>	sk you something.	10	Was your conversation with Mr. Lovelace a part
11	A. Sure.	11	of your investigation?
12	Q. I apologize.	12	A. Yes, because I asked him what could be seen in
13	A. It's all right. It's your meeting.	13	the documentation that people were looking at,
14	Q. Do you have Exhibit 43 in front of you?	14	because I don't have access to the system.
15	A. Yes, sir.	15	Q. Makes sense. What was his answer with regards
16			to why he looked at it on February 11th?
	age 2 of 4.	17	A. That he was asked to provide information about
18	A. Uh-huh.	18	what could be seen.
19	Q. And we know that this is one of the documents	19	Q. And you didn't follow up with regards to who
20 <b>t</b> l	hat you received from Ms. Almanza, right?	20	asked him that?
21	A. Yes, sir.	21	A. I did not.
22	Q. And this is actually the second of those two	22	Q. Why?
	ages that you received. I'm looking at the second	23	A. I don't have a good answer for that. I don't
-	entry here. Do you see Jerry Lovelace?	24	know.
	A. I do.	25	Q. Looking back on it, do you feel like you
	Page 74		
1	Q. Now, it says here that Jerry Lovelace accessed	1	should have?
	Ms. LaBlance's record on February 11, 2019. Do you	2	A. Yes, knowing that there was an email that came
	see that?	3	in around then now. Potentially, yes.
4	A. I do.	4	Q. And he didn't offer it to you?
	Q. And on the same day, Valicia Kirby accessed	5	A. No, sir.
	t	6	Q. Looking back on it, in your opinion, do you
7	A. Yes, sir.	7	believe he should have?
8	Q six times.	8	A. I wish he would have. It would have seemed
9	A. Okay.	9	appropriate.
10	Q. One do you see my mouse?	10	Q. Was Dr. Lovelace reprimanded?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. No, sir.
12	Q. So one, two	12	Q. Why not?
13	A. That's Dr. Epperson.	13	A. Based on the fact that it was my
14	Q. I'm sorry. One, two, three, four, five.	14	understanding that he was involved in the
15	A. Five. Okay.	15	_
16	Q. Five.	16	investigation looking into some issues that I was not aware of at the time.
17	A. Five times.	17	Q. What issues?
18	Q. I apologize, I was off.	18	A. That other people had been looking into the
19	A. That's all right.	19	record.
20	Q. And in addition, Mr. Lovelace looked at it	20	Q. So he was doing his own investigation?
	et's see, after so Valicia Kirby looked at it at	21	MR. MATULA: I'll object to the form of the
	2:58. Lovelace looked at it 2:34 p.m. Do you see	22	question.
	hat?	23	A. I was not a part of it. I can't speak to what
23 <b>t</b>	A. Ido.	24	he was doing.
<u></u>	Q. Did you talk to Dr. Lovelace about why he	25	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Mike. I didn't
25			

	Page 77		Page 79
1 m	ean to step on you, Mike.	1	Q. Okay. Do you know when her last day was?
2	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) Ms. Upton, this is the first	2	A. I believe it was sometime near the end of
3 <b>l</b> '	m hearing about it. And I guess — when you did	3	February, somewhere in the 20s.
4 <b>y</b>	our investigation, did you and Dr. Lovelace compare	4	Q. Do you know whether or not Mr. Lovelace had a
5 <b>i</b> i	nformation?	5	conversation with Ms. LaBlance based on whatever it
6	A. We talked. He was part of the well, he	6	was he was looking into?
7 b	rought the actual claim to us because it was sent	7	A. I'm not aware of anything that he did.
8 t	o him, the concern to us. Ms. LaBlance texted him	8	Q. Did it cause you any concern that Dr. Lovelace
9 a	picture of the letter.	9	was aware of this prior to Ms. LaBlance's employment
10	Q. Do you see an email in front of you on the	10	ending?
	eft-hand side?	11	A. Yes.
	A. Yes, sir.	12	Q. Do you see Exhibit 46 on the right-hand side?
	Q. And at the bottom it says Exhibit 33?	13	A. Yes, sir.
	A. Yes, sir.	14	Q. All right. Exhibit 46 is Sterling Ream's
	Q. All right. So if I zoom this in, do you see	15	Corrective Action Form from March 24th of 2019. At
	nat it's an email from Lovelace to Almanza?	16	the time, Ms. Ream was the HSA; is that right?
	A. Yes. sir.	17	A. Yes, sir.
	Q. And what's the date of that email from	18	Q. Do you know what HSA stands for?
	ovelace to Almanza?	19	A. Health services administrator.
		20	
	A. Friday, March 1st.	21	Q. Okay. And she was reprimanded, right?
	Q. Okay. So if we go back to Lovelace's entry,		A. Yes, sir.
	/hen did he look at the record?	22	Q. For accessing Ms. LaBlance's Department of
	A. February 11th.	23	Correction records, right?
	Q. So the time that Lovelace reports this is not	24	A. Yes, sir.
25 <b>F</b>	ebruary 11th; is that right?	25	Q. The next exhibit you have is 47. Do you see
	Page 78		Page 80
1	A. The first time it was reported to me was	1	that on the right-hand side?
2 <b>N</b>	March 1st.	2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. Okay. And I haven't seen anything that	3	Q. Do you see manager's signature there?
4 s	uggests that Mr. Lovelace reported it before this	4	A. Yes.
5 <b>N</b>	March 1st email. Are you aware of any report from	5	Q. Can you make that out? Do you know whose
6 <b>N</b>	/r. Lovelace before March 1st?	6	signature that is?
7	A. No. Not from him, no.	7	A. It looks like Sterling's signature.
8	Q. And is it your testimony that Mr. Lovelace was	8	Q. Okay. Why was Sterling allowed to reprimand
9 0	loing an investigation prior to your investigation?	9	employees that did the same thing she did?
10	A. Investigation may not be the right word.	10	A. I was not involved in that decision.
	ooking into a concern. I don't know. I wasn't a	11	Q. Does that seem odd to you?
	earty to that. I would hate to be asked to tell you	12	A. Unless she was the first one reprimanded and
	what anyone was doing if I'm not in the room.	13	had been corrected, yes. It would seem odd to me
14	Q. When Ms. Almanza brought you two of four	14	any way you slice it. But yes.
	pages, did she tell you about Mr. Lovelace's looking	15	Q. Why would it seem odd to you?
	nto anything?	16	A. How can she help them be better if she's not
17	A. No, sir.	17	been trained to do better or corrected when she did
18		18	
	Would you agree that all that information  yould be relevant to your understanding of what	19	wrong.  O The part exhibit you have is 48 and it is
			Q. The next exhibit you have is 48 and it is
-	ou're looking into?	20	Corizon 1002. Do you see that?
21	A. Absolutely.	21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. I can tell you, Ms. Upton, that as of	22	Q. This is for Debbie Ritter?
23 <b>F</b>	February 11th, Ms. LaBlance was still an employee of	23	A. Okay.
	Corizon. Did you know that?	24	<ul> <li>Q. On the manager's signature, is that Sterling</li> </ul>
	A. Yes, I did know that.	25	Ream?

	Page 81		Page 83
1	A. Yes, sir.	1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	Q. The next one is Exhibit 49. It is Corizon	2	I, Joann Renee Richardson, CCR, for the State of
3	1004.	3	Missouri, do hereby certify that the deposition of
4	A. Yes, sir.	4	MAKISA UPTON was held on November 4, 2020, via
5	Q. Under manager's signature, is that Sterling	5	videoconference, State of Missouri, and was held on the
6	Ream?	6	time and in the place previously described.
7	A. Yes, sir.	7	
8	Q. And this is a Corrective Action Form for	8	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
9	Jessica Frizzell, right?	9	and seal.
10	A. Yes, sir.	10	and sedi.
11	Q. Does it seem odd that Sterling Ream	11	
12	reprimanded those folks?	12	
13	•	13	Joann Renee Richardson, CCR
	MR. MATULA: Object to the form of the	14	Joann Renee Richardson, CCR
14	question. Go ahead.		
15	A. I mean, yes, it does. I feel like we've	15	
16	established that.	16	
17	Q. (By Mr. Nugent) Well, we hadn't established it	17	
18	with the other folks, so I wanted to make sure.	18	
19	A. Oh, okay. All right. I just wanted to be	19	
20	sure. I just	20	
21	Q. I appreciate that you want to make sure.	21	
22	Ms. Upton actually, give me one second.	22	
23	Sorry.	23	
24	A. Sure.	2.4	
25	Q. Ms. Upton, during your investigation, were you	25	
	Page 82		Page 84
1	aware that Val Kirby allegedly told Department of	1	ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
2	Correction employees that Ms. LaBlance had DOC		N
3	records?	2	November 20, 2020
4	A. No.	3	Mr. Michael L. Matula
5	Q. Are you aware that Tammie Christopher is	l .	Ogletree Deakins
6	married to a DOC employee?	4	4520 Main Street, Suite 400 Kansas City, Missouri 64111
7	A. I'm sorry, say that again.	5	Kurisus City, Missouri 04111
8	Q. Are you aware that Tammie Christopher is		IN RE: TERRI YOLANDA LABLANCE v. MISSOURI
9	married to a DOC employee?	6	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND CORIZON HEALTH
2		_	Dear Mr. Matula,
10	A. No, sir.	7	
	A. No, sir.  Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?		
10	Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?	8	Please find enclosed your copies of the deposition of
10 11	<ul><li>Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?</li><li>A. Yes, sir.</li></ul>	8	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the
10 11 12	Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?		
10 11 12 13	<ul><li>Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?</li><li>A. Yes, sir.</li><li>MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing</li></ul>	8 9 10 11	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.
10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?</li><li>A. Yes, sir.</li><li>MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.</li><li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.</li></ul>	8 9 10 11 12	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.  Please have the witness read your copy of the
10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>MS. JAG: I have no questions for Ms. Upton.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.  MS. JAG: I have no questions for Ms. Upton. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.  Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>MS. JAG: I have no questions for Ms. Upton.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. MATULA: I have no questions at this</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.  Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>MS. JAG: I have no questions for Ms. Upton.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. MATULA: I have no questions at this time. We'll read and sign.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.  Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public.  Please return the errata sheets and notarized
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>MS. JAG: I have no questions for Ms. Upton.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. MATULA: I have no questions at this time. We'll read and sign.</li> <li>MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.  Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.  MS. JAG: I have no questions for Ms. Upton.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MR. MATULA: I have no questions at this time. We'll read and sign.  MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 4:47 p.m.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.  Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public.  Please return the errata sheets and notarized signature page within 30 days to our office at 711 N 11th Street, St. Louis, MO 63101 for filling.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>MS. JAG: I have no questions for Ms. Upton.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. MATULA: I have no questions at this time. We'll read and sign.</li> <li>MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.  Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public.  Please return the errata sheets and notarized signature page within 30 days to our office at 711 N
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.  MS. JAG: I have no questions for Ms. Upton.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MR. MATULA: I have no questions at this time. We'll read and sign.  MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 4:47 p.m.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.  Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public.  Please return the errata sheets and notarized signature page within 30 days to our office at 711 N 11th Street, St. Louis, MO 63101 for filling.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Ms. Upton, have told you the truth today?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. NUGENT: Thanks. I have nothing further.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.  MS. JAG: I have no questions for Ms. Upton.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MR. MATULA: I have no questions at this time. We'll read and sign.  MR. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 4:47 p.m.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAKISA UPTON taken on November 4, 2020 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets.  Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public.  Please return the errata sheets and notarized signature page within 30 days to our office at 711 N 11th Street, St. Louis, MO 63101 for filling.

	Page 85
1	ERRATA SHEET
1	Witness Name: MAKISA UPTON
2	Case Name: TERRI YOLANDA LABLANCE v. MISSOURI
-	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND CORIZON HEALTH
3	Date Taken: NOVEMBER 4, 2020
4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
5	Page # Line #
6	Should read:
7	Reason for change:
8	
9	Page # Line #
10	Should read:
11	Reason for change:
12	·
13	Page # Line #
14	Should read:
15	Reason for change:
16	<u> </u>
17	Page # Line #
18	Should read:
19	Reason for change:
20	<u> </u>
21	Page # Line #
22	Should read:
23	Reason for change:
24	-
25	Witness Signature:
	AR ang
	Page 86
1	Page 86 STATE OF)
1	_
1	_
	STATE OF)
2	STATE OF)
2	STATE OF)  COUNTY OF)
2 3 4	STATE OF)  COUNTY OF)  I, MAKISA UPTON, do hereby certify:
2 3 4 5	STATE OF)  COUNTY OF)  I, MAKISA UPTON, do hereby certify:  That I have read the foregoing deposition;
2 3 4 5 6	STATE OF)  COUNTY OF)  I, MAKISA UPTON, do hereby certify:     That I have read the foregoing deposition;     That I have made such changes in form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STATE OF

A	35:15,18 36:7	47:2	46:19 47:5	28:21 54:2,5
abbreviated	36:12 37:9	allegedly 82:1	48:12,22	75:2
39:1	38:1 46:19	<b>allow</b> 38:8	56:12 76:9	<b>Auditing</b> 4:17,18
<b>able</b> 19:11 25:3	48:12 55:23	allowed 80:8	appropriately	4:19
43:24 45:2	56:5,13 58:10	Almanza 4:10	20:2	<b>August</b> 29:10
47:3 58:5	60:18 67:13	26:11 27:1,9	approval 22:17	<b>Auto</b> 9:25
above-refere	69:2,12 70:2	28:18 43:14	43:4,5 44:21	available 18:21
84:9	71:18 79:15	52:13,20,24	approvals 50:7	20:14
absence 18:23	81:8	57:22 70:24	approvers 45:3	average 13:13
absent 37:17	actions 35:23	73:20 77:16,19	approximately	aware 22:3
absolutely 7:15	36:3 63:20	78:14	12:2 23:6	24:19 25:25
12:14 22:1	69:19	Almanza's	49:19	26:4 32:1
23:5 24:12,15	acts 36:10	26:12	<b>April</b> 59:8 61:21	70:14,21 76:16
32:13 38:3	actual 77:7	alternative	62:7,15	78:5 79:7,9
48:24 62:12	<b>ADA</b> 14:10	72:15	arrival 30:18	82:1,5,8
62:25 73:8	add 43:14	American 72:17	asked 22:17	Awesome 9:17
78:21	72:20	amount 72:24	24:17 26:6	B
access 25:3	addition 8:10	73:3	27:20 46:6	B 4:5 42:1
38:9 50:19	64:25 65:14	analysis 66:4,6	57:3,11 75:2,4	
57:10 60:9	66:9 74:20	and/or 20:12	75:12,17,20	bachelor's
75:14	additional 21:4	35:15 36:8	78:12	10:23
accessed 28:1	54:21,22 66:2	37:12 84:13	asking 12:21	back 9:5,6
42:2,3,7,17	address 7:24	86:7	24:5 29:24	19:25 20:2
57:15 59:4,9	administrator	answer 17:9	61:5 72:9	26:22 32:24
60:1,7,22	79:19	22:25 23:9	assign 45:2	32:25 47:16
61:10 62:8,10	African 72:17	41:4,24 42:4	assistance	49:5,6 55:21 58:14 59:8
62:15,17,23	afternoon 6:1,21	42:10,19 56:10	18:10	64:15 65:3,7
65:13 70:16	45:8	56:25 57:1	Assistant 3:10	71:11,22 72:4
72:3 73:4 74:1	ago 15:22	60:12 75:15	assume 8:21	71.11,22 72.4 73:9 75:25
74:5	agree 34:14	75:23	27:21 46:11	76:6 77:21
accessing 26:2	42:12 49:17	answering 39:3	50:2	backfill 22:17
29:19 62:12	50:14 59:5	answers 4:12	assumption	background 8:9
67:7,8 79:22	78:18	30:5 38:22	42:20	Baptist 10:25
accurate 21:2	agreed 5:1	39:5	attached 4:25	<b>Barb</b> 12:11,16,18
46:13 49:23	46:12,20	anybody 48:5	attachment	19:19
52:22 69:22	ahead 24:14	58:14	43:8 45:21,25	<b>Barbara</b> 12:17,19
69:25 70:6,11	31:25 67:3	anymore 47:10	49:21	base 21:11
accustomed	72:25 73:2	61:18	attention 29:8	base 21.11 based 22:25
47:9	81:14	Apartment 8:1	31:18	28:20 32:6
action 4:20,21	<b>Alaris</b> 3:21 5:19 84:1	apologies 38:16	attorney 3:10 42:14 52:5	36:20,21
4:22,23,24		<b>apologize</b> 53:12 73:12 74:18		46:16,21 47:1
13:4 14:18,21	all-inclusive 35:21		<b>attorneys</b> 5:22 38:19 46:4	47:11 48:7,12
14:24 16:7,15		appreciate 20:20 81:21	53:23	56:12,18 59:7
19:22 21:6,8	<b>allegation</b> 18:15 18:17 31:5		audience 13:19	59:23 67:6
21:21 27:4,11	67:8	appropriate 19:22 20:24	audit 26:24	71:9 76:13
34:12,18,22	allegations 10:11	20:25 21:22	27:17,20	71:5 70:15 79:5
		20.20 21.22	Z7.17,ZU	, 5.5

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

	-	ī	•	
<b>Bates</b> 50:1	blacking 55:2	CERTIFICATE	class 15:21 16:4	68:9
Bates-labeled	blackout 55:5	83:1	<b>clean</b> 58:20	concern 22:14
31:15 34:13	blocked 70:18	Certified 2:12	<b>clear</b> 19:20	32:3,5 61:20
51:15 52:1	Bolivar 10:25	5:4	28:2,3,7	61:23 62:2,9
bearing 30:2	boss 12:20	certify 83:3	clearly 28:9	62:13,24 63:1
behalf 1:12 2:17	38:14	86:4	58:15 68:5	71:7,12,23
6:2,6,10	<b>bottom</b> 31:18	chain 35:3	closely 30:23	72:15,23,24
behavior 14:24	44:19 51:5,16	37:20	coaching 13:4	73:3 77:8
21:11 29:25	54:11,15 59:2	chance 29:12	14:18,23,23	78:11 79:8
31:6 46:16,21	77:13	41:20 57:12	15:1 16:6,14	concerned
46:22 64:25	box 40:16	Chandler 5:18	collaboration	62:2,17
65:1 67:9	<b>BP</b> 40:22	<b>change</b> 85:7,11	41:25	concerns 19:18
believe 13:5	break 8:12	85:15,19,23	coloring 54:22	60:17
15:21 25:20	48:23	changed 33:13	combination	concluded
25:24 28:18	brief 69:18	33:13 34:8	16:20,23	82:22
30:21,22 32:9	briefly 11:8	67:14	come 10:19	conclusion
33:17 34:21	bring 31:8	changes 34:1,4	16:17 21:14,24	27:16 61:2
35:11 41:6,23	brought 77:7	84:13 86:6,9	26:6 37:4	concur 43:6,17
49:14 52:16	78:14	Chapman 41:10	38:13,14 56:2	concurrence
53:2 56:8,14	business 10:7	41:12,14	67:11	43:25
56:16 57:19	40:22,23,24	characterize	comes 21:17	conduct 12:22
59:17 63:18		34:18	comfortable	12:25 15:23
65:7 76:7	C	<b>check</b> 75:5	65:3	17:15 18:5
79:2	<b>C</b> 3:1	Checklist 4:11	coming 65:3	22:8 27:2,24
benefit 23:24	<b>call</b> 26:5 59:20	39:24	Commission	59:23
24:2	60:13	Chillicothe 12:3	86:23	conducted 13:1
benefits 10:11	called 14:12	16:14 40:24	communication	13:2,3,12 23:7
11:11,15	38:6	58:6,7 72:17	26:15	23:18
best 17:24 33:12	calling 24:5	<b>Chris</b> 5:18	company 22:18	conducting 17:1
36:13 64:8	61:2	Christopher	23:23 40:8	17:4,7 18:12
better 69:20	<b>car</b> 19:6	4:20 15:25	company's 37:9	24:21 31:3,4
80:16,17	Carol 64:4	68:25 69:5	compare 77:4	71:4
Beyond 58:7	<b>case</b> 1:6 2:5	82:5,8	compared 56:5	conference
big-time 23:11	5:13 6:24 11:11	Christopher's	compensation	5:16,20
bigger 31:20	84:9 85:2	68:14	10:11 11:15	confidential
68:7	catch 32:10	<b>Cindy</b> 28:19	complainant	15:9 55:6
bio 48:23	cause 2:13 62:9	30:22 43:14	20:13 22:9	confidentiality
bit 7:7 10:21	62:24 71:7,11	45:1,2,9,13	complaining	25:8 53:2
54:2 55:16	72:23 79:8	<b>City</b> 3:4,8,11 8:4	32:15	confirm 30:8
61:8	<b>causes</b> 62:25	11:22,23 84:4	complains 18:13	47:3 51:1
<b>black</b> 51:6,7	CCR 83:2,13	<b>civil</b> 13:1 14:8,9	complaint 20:7	52:19
blacked 4:17	<b>Center</b> 12:3	14:12,13 15:21	26:1,4 27:3,25	confused 30:3
52:17,25	40:24	16:1	30:12 32:2	confusion
53:22 54:16	<b>CEO</b> 33:14 34:7	claim 77:7	65:15,15 66:13	45:25 63:14
54:20 55:18	certain 2:13	clarification	66:21	consent 6:2,7,11
55:21 58:1	63:21 70:19	20:20 21:4	completed 40:9	considered 15:1
59:12 71:22	Certainly 53:25	clarify 52:9	computer 46:2	29:22
		1	1	1

				1
contact 47:14	85:2	<b>course</b> 14:8,9	Dear 84:7	39:23 42:22
61:6,15	Corizon's 34:18	18:23	Deb 64:10,12,17	49:14
content 14:8	60:25 61:6	court 1:1 2:1,12	64:20	describe 14:7
contention 67:5	Corizon:- 3:6	2:14 3:20 5:4	<b>Debbie</b> 80:22	18:11 35:16
contents 29:12	corner 51:16	5:14,21 6:3,8	Deborah 4:23	36:10 47:7
context 22:19	correct 11:12	6:14 17:21	December	described 83:6
23:3	58:25 69:14	covered 8:14	63:12	description
control 38:11	86:8,12	14:18	decipher 55:22	34:22
conversation	corrected 80:13	covers 14:10	decision 23:25	desired 84:14
26:6 27:15	80:17	<b>COVID</b> 44:2	27:7 47:4	detailed 34:9
28:17 37:16	correction 69:11	<b>COVID-19</b> 11:18	48:6 80:10	details 32:4
70:24 75:10	70:15 79:23	crackly 7:4,5	declare 86:11	44:5 68:4,16
79:5	82:2	create 15:14	Defendant 3:6	69:24
conversations	corrections 1:7	36:22	4:12 6:11 38:21	determine 19:2
71:19	2:6,16 3:9	criteria 54:8	Defendants 1:8	19:16 21:5
conversely	5:12 6:6,25	current 15:7	2:7,17 5:3	38:3
36:2	47:19,25 51:14	44:6 68:4,16	deficient 42:19	determined
conveyed 15:4	53:6 62:9	69:24	<b>degree</b> 10:23	48:11
<b>copies</b> 55:17	70:21 84:6,13	<b>cursor</b> 57:18	11:2	difference
84:8	85:2	Cynthia 50:9	delay 53:12	62:18 69:4,6
copy 34:2	corrective 4:20		delete 44:14	different 6:4
43:20 55:11,13	4:21,22,23,24	D	deliver 15:15	10:14 11:8
55:14,17 84:12	13:4 14:18,21	D 4:1	delivered 15:19	13:25 24:8
<b>Corizon</b> 1:7 2:6	14:24 16:7,15	<b>D's</b> 57:20	16:7,9,10,19	36:18,20
2:16 4:12 5:12	21:6,8,21 27:11	<b>Dale</b> 29:10	department 1:6	37:15 38:10
6:11,24 9:18,19	34:12,18,22	data 14:5 21:9	2:5,16 3:9	39:18 44:5
9:24 10:5,16	37:8 48:12	21:14,23 22:4	5:12 6:6,25	48:19 51:21
11:12 12:12,23	55:23 56:5	24:20,24	40:5 47:19,25	52:15 53:10
15:1 17:3,14	58:10 63:20	28:22 41:6	51:14 53:5	62:6,23 69:7
18:3,4,13	67:12 69:2,12	59:24	62:8 70:15,21	69:8 75:9
20:14 23:7,21	69:18 70:2	database 13:3	79:22 82:1	differently
24:1,11,19 29:9	71:18 79:15	15:3 22:6	84:6 85:2	55:16
30:18 31:15,16	81:8	25:11,12 26:18	depending	difficulties 9:9
31:18,19 33:23	correctly 24:24	41:7	35:25 36:4	direct 61:8
34:13 35:12	counsel 4:25	date 5:9 32:10	37:10	<b>director</b> 19:14,15
36:6 37:7,16	5:2,2	33:13,15,21	depends 13:19	48:15
38:18,21 40:5	counseling	46:10 62:2	deposition 1:11	discipline 15:1
40:12 41:15,18	34:23,24 35:2	75:3 77:18	2:8 5:3,10,15	34:17,17,19,25
42:2,6,17,23	37:11,17 68:15	85:3	5:20,25 6:3,7	35:3 36:17,18
42:24 50:1,2	68:25	dates 41:23	6:12,23 8:7	37:15,20
59:3 60:8,20	counted 11:25	42:3	26:21 31:15	55:19 65:5
61:9,18,23	country 25:8	day 2:11 74:5	33:5 52:1	discovered
62:6,15,21	COUNTY 86:2	79:1 86:13	82:22 83:3	60:15
64:8 68:2	<b>couple</b> 8:15	days 37:22,23	84:8 86:5,7,10	discretion 37:10
70:21 72:22	30:17 33:7	84:18 <b>Deakins</b> 3:7	depositions	discrimination
78:24 80:20	34:1 39:5,12	84:3	29:7 31:14	14:10 18:6,14
81:2 84:6	67:16	04.3	33:4 38:20	discussed 57:2
	•	•	•	•

72:1	78:13	emailed 43:3	73:24 77:21	52:3,6,14,19
discussing 22:2	DOO 48:14,16	emails 30:16	environment	53:17,18,20
28:20 56:11	Dr 26:15 42:5	44:13	67:1	53:22 54:1,5
discussions	46:25 47:20	employ 60:25	<b>Epperson</b> 26:15	54:15 65:24
46:25 48:13	47:23 48:9	employed	31:22 42:5,6	67:21,24 68:2
District 1:1,2 2:1	49:16 50:12,15	20:14 23:7	42:18 46:17	73:14 77:13
2:1,14,14 5:13	55:4 58:11	59:17 60:14	46:25 47:20	79:12,14,25
5:14	68:11 74:13,25		47:23 48:9	80:19 81:2
Division 1:3 2:2	76:10 77:4	employee 4:9,11 13:3 15:7 16:3		<b>Exhibits</b> 4:25
			49:16 50:12,15	
5:14	79:8	39:23 40:6,8	55:4,9 58:11	expect 40:16
DO's 48:13	drafts 43:20,22	41:7,7,15 47:11	64:23 66:18	expectations
DOC 19:10,12	44:4,5,11	53:6 54:25	68:11 69:4	69:15
20:15,16 27:18	draw 29:8 31:18	55:12 56:4	74:13	Expires 86:23
27:20 29:19	drive 19:6 50:3	59:4 60:5,7,13	Epperson's	explain 36:17
30:3 38:3,13	<b>duly</b> 6:17	60:19,24 61:9	55:5	38:1
52:16,25	E	61:13 69:11,12	errata 84:10,14	explained 27:5
70:16 82:2,6		69:25 70:5,9	84:17 85:1	expressly 5:6
82:9	E 3:1,1,11 4:1,5	70:17 72:17	<b>error</b> 69:14	extremely 69:18
DOC's 26:18	earlier 66:18	73:4,5 78:23	established	F
document 4:16	earning 10:20	82:6,9	53:20 81:16,17	
31:9 33:8	edit 46:9	employee's	ethics 11:11	face 19:4,5
38:15,18,23	edits 46:8	52:16,25 55:7	event 38:5	facility 16:14
39:18 40:2,4	education	employees 10:9	everybody	fact 62:22 65:4
40:6 42:21	10:22 11:3	10:15 11:23	21:15 44:1	76:13
43:12 48:20	17:15	12:3,8 13:18	evidence 19:1	factor 72:20
49:13,15 51:2	effective 33:13	14:1,4 18:22	48:7 72:15	fair 20:9
51:4,11,13 53:11	33:15,21	19:9 20:16	exactly 45:11	familiar 29:11,14
54:22 57:23	egregious	36:19,25 37:1	46:7 47:12	34:6
70:8	36:12	37:7,8,14,20	58:3 63:17	far 24:19 27:11
documentation	egregiousness	42:6 47:18,24	71:2	61:6,19
18:16,19,25	21:11	53:8 55:8	EXAMINATION	fashion 6:12
19:21 20:7,8	either 20:12	58:7,11 61:7	4:2 6:19	faster 24:4
20:23 27:7	22:9 58:18	62:6,10,15,21	examined 2:9	February 62:7
28:2,5,7 75:13	electronic 15:17	62:22,23,25	6:17	62:16 64:20
documents	16:19	65:13 70:22	examples 35:17	65:14 74:2
21:16 22:24	Eleventh 3:22	72:22 80:9	excellent 23:8	75:1,16 77:23
25:14,18,19	elimination	82:2	exception 64:10	77:25 78:23
26:20,22	53:9	employment	excuse 17:1	79:3
33:2 40:19	email 4:7,8,10	18:6 22:11	25:12 26:17	feedback 43:11
50:22,25	4:15 31:21	24:11 32:12	40:22	68:19
53:14 67:17,19	43:2,8,25	33:22 79:9	Executed 86:13	feel 18:5 47:11
69:3,7 73:19	44:3,15 45:4	enclosed 84:8	exhibit 4:6 29:8	47:15 50:20
doing 13:17,18	45:12,20,24	84:9	29:9 31:15	65:2 66:19
18:4 23:22,23	47:8,9 49:20	ended 22:12	33:5 38:20	75:25 81:15
59:19 63:20	55:20 66:13	entire 41:24	39:20,22	felt 46:18
70:13 72:6	76:2 77:10,16	entire 41.24 entirety 52:9	42:23,24 50:1	figure 71:23
76:20,24 78:9	77:18 78:5	entry 63:4,11	51:4,9,23,25	file 4:11 28:1,4,9
70.20,2470.3		Citaly 00.∓,11	31. 1,3,23,23	

28:11 29:19	4:23,24 11:7,7	getting 43:10	gosh 11:5	5:12 6:24 9:18
30:3 31:5	16:19 24:13,22	68:19	gotten 71:25	9:19 12:12 16:9
40:9 55:7	60:10 61:1	give 7:24 8:9	great 9:12 18:11	16:11,12 79:19
67:7	65:19 67:2	14:2 21:4,22	32:1 38:17	84:6 85:2
filed 6:24 66:21	73:1 76:21	21:25 23:9	44:8 50:11	Healthcare 6:11
files 39:24 40:7	79:15 81:8,13	24:1 29:2,12	51:13	hear 7:3,13 9:13
55:1,12,15	86:6	31:8 35:21	greater 36:23	heard 20:6,25
filing 84:19	former 47:10	39:18 41:20	group 10:15	22:13,15 27:10
fill 40:2	61:6	42:14 46:6	guess 24:7	30:25 63:20
final 35:5,19	forward 46:20	51:22 53:11	27:12 29:20	hearing 30:14
37:11 69:19	48:7 67:11	66:6 81:22	30:3 45:9,25	77:3
find 25:3,3 27:6	69:16	given 13:24,25	71:21 77:3	Heather 29:9
84:8	found 28:20	33:22,24	guessing 12:1	held 5:15,20
findings 21:5	57:8 61:10	48:11 52:21	guidance 14:23	11:9 83:4,5
fine 68:10,10	72:8	53:4 56:4	19:20 24:6	help 80:16
first 4:13 6:17	four 17:6 35:11	63:14 65:8,23	<b>Guide</b> 4:9	helped 15:23
12:15 17:6	52:19 71:8,14	65:24 66:3		helpful 24:21
18:16 20:6	72:21 74:14	67:13 69:13	H	31:2 65:9,11
22:13 27:4,24	78:14	giving 13:15	H 4:5	helps 23:10
30:8 33:7	frankly 8:15	go 8:24 12:17	H-O-J-E-R 12:14	hereunto 83:8
34:25 37:11	70:3	19:19 21:20	Halifax 8:1	hesitate 23:25
38:22 50:24	Friday 77:20	24:14 27:7,8	hand 83:8	highest 10:22
52:21 53:21	Frizzell 4:24	31:25 32:18	handed 57:24	highlighter
58:24 59:2	81:9	45:13 58:14	handle 56:12	54:19 55:2,4
63:15 65:24	frog 7:7,8,11	61:16 64:11,12	72:14	HIPAA 41:18
68:14 69:19	front 31:13 33:3	64:15 67:3	handled 30:19	60:17 61:12
70:25 77:2	33:12 34:5	69:10 71:22	55:15 63:1,2	hired 10:6
78:1 80:12	39:20 41:2	72:25 73:2,9	handwriting	historical 21:9
five 19:6 74:14	42:24 49:11	77:21 81:14	57:18	21:13,23 22:4
74:15,16,17	53:15 66:10	goes 12:18	happen 19:3	23:3 24:4,7
fixed 9:15	73:14 77:10	34:14 64:4	38:12	24:20 28:23
fixing 9:9	full 26:24 27:17	69:10,25	happened 27:6	29:1 57:11
FMLA 14:10	function 25:5	going 8:9 9:1	30:18 62:3	hit 21:21
folder 40:17	further 27:6	22:23 25:17	happens 38:6	Hojer 12:11
folks 13:21 42:16	53:12 57:9,10	25:19 29:2,5	happy 30:16,16	holds 36:23
63:25 81:12,18	82:14	31:17,19 32:20	harassment	holler 25:22
follow 75:19	future 69:14	33:6 38:4	14:11 18:13	Holloway 64:4
follow-up 39:17		41:20 48:19	hard 50:3	Holts 7:22 8:1,3
following 56:17	G	49:1 50:23,25	Harkins 32:15	home 7:22
58:11	<b>gate</b> 38:6,6	52:2 53:10	56:8,9,14,19	hop 19:6
follows 6:18	gather 20:7	54:1 57:2	57:14,16 58:9	hours 2:10 19:7
foregoing 86:5	gathered 18:25	65:17 68:17	65:16 71:20	37:5
86:12	20:8 21:17	69:16 73:9	hate 42:14	housed 40:18
forgive 8:16	General 3:10	good 6:1,21 8:17	78:12	40:19
68:8	generalist 11:14	13:5 75:23	head 12:1 13:6	HR 10:2,11,15
forgot 73:9	11:17	goodness 13:13	34:4 44:9	11:4,9,12 12:11
form 4:20,21,22	generally 20:13	Google 17:20	health 1:7 2:6,16	13:2 14:21,22
	<u> </u>		<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

15:12 17:10,17	61:17 64:7	27:13	43:2,8 45:24	33:10 34:3,9
19:19 40:4,21	67:13 72:3,5	investigated	Jerry 4:8 31:22	41:9,14 42:9
40:23,24 41:7	information	67:15	73:24 74:1	42:17 44:8,11
43:6 44:19,21	8:10 14:2,3	investigation	Jessica 4:24	47:17,21,23
50:6	15:4,7,9 21:17	18:12 20:4	81:9	48:1,16 53:7
HRBP 40:20	23:2 26:17	24:21 27:2,24	Joann 2:11 3:21	55:19,24
HRBPs 24:5	27:19 28:23	29:17,22 30:11	5:4,17 83:2,13	57:15 58:3,9
40:10	29:1 31:2 39:3	30:15 31:1,3,4	84:24	59:16 61:12
<b>HSA</b> 79:16,18	39:9 41:4,8,22	31:7 36:13	job 10:6	63:15 67:4
Human 10:7	42:9 47:14	40:15,17	Johnson 4:22	68:21 70:18
	54:21 55:6	59:20 60:14	joining 9:23	70:20 72:16
I	60:21 64:18	60:16 66:18	17:14 18:3	72:21 73:19
idea 12:20	65:10,16 66:3	66:20 70:14	Judy 32:15 56:8	75:7,24 78:11
identification	66:25 71:16	71:4 75:11	56:9,14,18	78:24,25 79:1
29:6	75:17 77:5	76:15,20 77:4	58:9 65:16	79:4,18 80:5
identified 33:5	78:18	78:9,9,10	71:20	know-how 10:3
42:22 58:4	informed 22:10	81:25	jumping 35:22	knowing 72:5
identify 31:11	infractions	investigations	June 33:21 63:4	76:2
39:2 42:16	37:24	17:5,7,13,16	64:17 65:14	knowledge
53:5	initial 43:10,11	18:6 22:8		24:10 36:21
identifying 58:7	initials 40:16	23:6,17,22,24	K	60:3 61:14
immediate	input 14:5	24:3	Kansas 3:4,8,11	62:1 64:8
35:15,18 36:7	insight 19:20	involved 14:21	84:4	known 66:22
immediately	institution 38:8	14:22 19:10	<b>Karen</b> 31:22	knows 60:8
36:7	institutional	22:8 36:14,14	42:5 55:4	Krigel 3:3,3
<b>impact</b> 65:17	24:10 38:1,9	47:2 67:13	69:4	
66:25	institutions	76:14 80:10	Kelley 41:10,12	L
important 69:21	38:5	involvement	41:14	<b>L</b> 3:6 84:3
69:24 70:6,11	instruct 27:1	23:1 36:21	kind 36:8	LaBlance 1:4
inappropriate	instructed 27:13	issue 37:10	<b>Kirby</b> 31:23	2:2,15 5:11 6:2
30:1	27:13	issues 57:11	42:5,7,18	6:23 22:7
inappropriately	instruction	62:1 76:15,17	45:22 46:17	25:15 26:1,15
73:5	69:13	Ivan 3:3 6:1,21	46:22,24	28:24 30:15
incident 30:13	interrogatories		47:17,23 48:3	31:21 32:2,14
44:6 68:4,16	4:14 38:23	J	48:4,5 49:20	39:24 46:18
69:25	39:4	<b>Jag</b> 3:10 6:5,5	50:18 55:9	50:19 65:2
incidents 30:17	Interrogatory	82:16	58:12 64:23	66:11,14,21
32:9,11	41:2,5	Jefferson 8:3	66:18 74:5,21	67:1,10 72:16
include 37:11	interview 19:8	11:22,23	82:1	77:8 78:23
included 26:16	20:11 28:6	Jenny 3:14	knew 70:17	79:5 82:2
includes 21:3	interviewing	28:18 29:10	know 7:8,17	84:5 85:2
indicate 5:24	20:23	30:12,21,22	8:12 12:2,4	LaBlance's
84:13	interviews 19:2	31:22 44:13	16:13,16 17:23	22:11,15 27:2
individual 32:14	28:8 48:8,10	48:17,18 49:19	18:19 19:7	27:25 30:12
individuals	59:23	55:20 56:6	23:8,14 24:18	42:7 47:18,24
20:25 26:2	introduce 5:22	66:14	25:5 26:14	57:15 59:4
28:12 60:21	investigate	<b>Jenny's</b> 38:17	30:20 32:4	60:2 61:22
	5511.941.5	-		

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

62:8,23 64:18	28:14 34:25	57:9 58:4,23	4:15 5:10 6:16	25:10 28:25
65:13 70:16	48:11 57:7	63:19,24	24:14 83:4	43:17 47:7
72:4 74:2	levels 14:21	64:17 65:1,4	84:8 85:1	72:7 77:1 81:15
79:9,22	liked 71:13	66:13,19 72:8	86:4,19	medical 26:2
lack 69:20	line 50:7 85:5,9	72:10 74:20	managed 10:15	60:21 61:10
language 44:5	85:13,17,21	74:21,22 75:1	management	Meehan 3:14
larger 29:2	lines 25:6 55:5	75:16	11:11 13:3 15:4	28:18 29:10
lastly 15:3 54:11	list 16:4 53:8	looking 15:18	manager 10:2	30:12 31:23
late 45:14	55:20,22	21:13 23:2	manager's 80:3	38:16 48:17,18
lead 36:3	56:5 61:17	25:4,5,6 30:2	80:24 81:5	49:19 66:14
leader 19:13,19	73:9	32:6 49:22	managers 36:16	meeting 21:19
36:21	listed 20:12	51:2 53:3,4,24	36:18	73:13
leaders 10:9	literally 46:7	59:11 65:12	managing 14:3	Megan 63:4
13:18,21,24	Litigation 3:21	67:6 69:3	March 22:10,16	64:5
16:13 22:2	5:19 84:1	71:10,11 72:4	22:24 25:25	
		· ·		memo 29:9,12 30:9 66:9
35:17	little 7:4,5,7	72:13,22 73:16	26:10 29:23	
leadership	10:21 14:2 21:4	73:23 75:13	30:11 31:1 32:5	mental 16:9,11
16:12 21:1,1,3	31:20 54:2	75:25 76:6,15	43:3 44:24	16:12
21:19 46:19	55:16	76:18 78:11,15	48:16,19 59:8	mentioned 11:16
56:11 69:10	LLC 4:12	78:20 79:6	70:14 77:20	25:24 71:19
leading 35:18	LLC's 38:21	looks 34:13	78:2,5,6 79:15	Meyer 63:4
learning 57:14	locations 6:4,9	36:9 54:15	marked 29:7	64:5
leave 18:22	lock 38:6	80:7	38:20	Michael 3:6
24:9,10,17	lodged 32:2	<b>Lori</b> 59:8 61:21	markings 54:18	84:3
leaves 24:25	log 4:17,18,19	lost 38:9	married 82:6,9	<b>Mike</b> 6:10 72:25
40:8 60:25	26:25 27:17	loudly 7:12	material 14:17	76:25 77:1
leaving 22:18	27:20 28:21	<b>Louis</b> 3:22	materials 16:18	minute 64:16
46:18	54:2,5 75:2	84:19	<b>matter</b> 5:11 60:1	missed 55:24
left 30:15 67:21	long 9:19 11:4	Lovelace 4:8,10	60:3,5	58:9,15
68:1,11 71:20	15:22 44:9	31:22 73:24	<b>Matula</b> 3:6 6:10	Missouri 1:2,6
71:24 72:19	63:23	74:1,20,22,25	6:10 24:13,22	2:1,5,13,15 3:8
left-hand 77:11	longer 18:22	75:10 76:10	52:4 60:10	3:11 5:12,14
legal 5:18 56:11	38:5 60:6,14	77:4,16,19,24	61:1 65:19	7:23 8:2 11:1
56:23 61:2	look 21:9,24	78:4,6,8 79:4	67:2 73:1	25:7 40:13
legally 18:23	25:13 26:18	79:8	76:21 81:13	83:3,5 84:4,5
let's 8:6,24 13:7	27:5,16 28:23	Lovelace's	82:18 84:3,7	85:2
25:14 33:3	33:3,10 34:7	77:21 78:15	McWhorter 4:7	misspoke 14:12
58:20 63:3	34:12 42:1	low 21:20	29:10	<b>MO</b> 3:4,22
64:1 65:12	44:12 52:15,15		MDOC1938	84:19
74:21	53:8 54:8,11	M	51:15	<b>model</b> 34:19
letter 26:16	58:20 63:3	<b>Main</b> 3:4,7 84:4	MDOC1939	models 34:17,17
47:9,10,13	64:1,9,15	maintained	51:15	modified 37:8
50:20 77:9	66:23 77:22	24:25 40:7	MDOC1940	moment 53:11
letters 47:10	looked 28:1,5	<b>major</b> 67:5	52:1	month 13:14
58:6	30:13 31:5	making 50:20	MDOC1941	months 17:6
level 10:14,22	46:24 47:3	66:4	73:16	61:22
21:2,6,20	49:15 52:18	<b>Makisa</b> 1:11 2:8	mean 10:8 16:10	mouse 74:10
, .,		l		

	_	_	_	_
<b>move</b> 10:16	86:22	official 43:19	ones 13:5 37:2	78:15
48:6,19 53:10	<b>note</b> 51:16	Ogletree 3:7	59:12	paper 16:19
moved 46:20	<b>notes</b> 58:5 75:8	84:3	online 16:24	34:2 55:13
multiple 46:24	notice 38:7	oh 8:18 11:5	<b>opened</b> 50:25	paragraph
46:24	notified 48:18	13:13 17:6 18:7	operations	37:25 38:2
	November 1:13	24:12 26:17	19:14,15 26:8	paragraphs
N	2:9 5:9 83:4	81:19	26:9 48:15	68:16
<b>N</b> 3:1 4:1 84:18	84:2,8 85:3	okay 7:3,24	opinion 23:21	part 42:20 66:6
<b>name</b> 5:17,18	Nugent 3:3 4:3	9:10 10:16,22	23:23 24:1	67:5 68:24
6:5,21 12:13,15	6:1,1,20,22	11:12,18 12:6,8	29:20 31:1	75:10 76:23
12:19 15:8	8:24 9:8 25:1	13:7,15 15:19	42:15 65:17	77:6
22:14,15 39:6	31:13,17 32:18	16:13 17:9,20	66:25 76:6	participated
44:22,24	33:2 48:24	17:25 20:4,17	opportunity	16:1
52:16,25	49:8 60:12	20:20 23:21	34:20	particular 11:15
53:22 56:8	61:3 65:21	26:20 27:1,23	orient 49:25	48:3
59:12 85:1,2	73:7 77:2	28:10,15 30:7	original 84:9	particularly 12:4
86:10	81:17 82:13	30:11,20,25	outside 8:3	parties 5:23
names 42:12	number 23:17	31:8,10,25,25	55:8	36:14,14
55:21,22 56:6	23:22 39:14	32:8 33:10,18		partner 10:7
71:22	49:12 62:10,14	35:20 36:2,16	P	40:22,23,24
<b>Napa</b> 9:25 10:1	62:21,25 65:1	37:19 38:13	<b>P</b> 3:1,1	<b>parts</b> 9:25
10:13,20 17:17	65:12 72:3	39:16 40:4,21	<b>P.C</b> 3:3	52:10
nation 15:24	numbers 50:1	42:9,21 44:21	<b>p.m</b> 2:10,10 5:10	<b>party</b> 18:19
<b>near</b> 79:2		45:4 46:4	9:2,7 32:21	78:12
necessary	0	47:17 48:10,21	33:1 45:4,12	<b>pay</b> 10:19 15:8
34:21 59:24	oath 8:18 9:12	49:13,25 50:6	49:2,7 74:22	payroll 10:10
86:8	49:9	51:2,13 52:5	82:21,22	penalty 86:11
need 8:12 14:4	object 24:13,22	52:10,14 53:3	packet 66:20	pending 2:13
19:2,3,4,5	60:10 61:1	53:24 54:24	page 4:2,6	people 13:22
25:21 28:8	65:19 67:2	55:8,24 56:18	31:19,24 33:13	20:13 24:9
29:21 48:8	73:1 76:21	56:25 57:23	34:12 49:22	30:2 34:16
51:1,21 66:19	81:13	58:18 59:22	51:17,19 52:10	37:2 59:15
needed 26:18	Objections 4:13	60:4,16 61:20	52:11 53:3,5	75:13 76:18
27:5 37:1 71:3	38:22	62:4,14 63:3,9	54:12,13,13,19	percent 18:7
71:17,22	obligations 61:11	63:21 64:3	59:2 63:9,11	63:23 65:22
needs 15:13	occur 75:3	68:1,7,18,23	64:1 68:1 73:17	perception
57:6	October 9:22	69:3 71:5,19	84:10,15,18	36:22
never 11:25	13:8 40:25	72:2,20 73:16	85:5,9,13,17	perjury 86:11
new 33:14	odd 80:11,13,15	74:9,15 75:6	85:21	permitted 38:4
new-hire 15:7	81:11	77:21 78:3	pages 33:7	person 16:24
night 45:5,14	offer 76:4	79:1,20 80:8	46:24 52:12	17:10 18:20
nine 61:22 62:6	office 7:22 11:19	80:23 81:19	52:20,22	38:8 44:20
62:22,23	11:21,24 26:6	oldest 59:3	53:21 58:24	60:6,8
North 3:22	26:13 34:2	onboarding	62:4 63:3,15	personnel
NOS 4:6 notarized 84:17	45:2 84:18	18:4	65:1,24 70:25	40:12
notarized 84:17 notary 84:15	<b>officers</b> 19:10 70:15	once 18:17,25	71:8,12,14 72:21 73:23	persons 20:11
110tal y 04.15	70.13	37:22 60:24	12.2113.23	20:24 39:2

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

	67.44.76.2	27.40		10.47 25 47.4
pertain 67:9	67:14 76:3	37:19	questions 6:20	16:17,25 17:4
71:16	pre-created	protect 60:21	16:16 19:18	17:14 18:4,18
pertained	15:14	protection	68:17 82:16,18	26:5,14 29:16
28:23	preparing 26:21	60:24	quickly 8:11	29:17,18 47:2
pertinent 31:7	present 3:14	provide 14:10		52:14 54:21
32:6 71:4	5:21,22 42:10	16:21 19:11	R 3:1	69:15 73:20
<b>phone</b> 19:5	presented	20:19 21:4,9		73:23
26:5	57:12	27:14,20 41:4	race 73:7	receiving 20:7
pick 37:2,5	president 26:8	46:4 69:11	Rachel 3:10 6:5	20:23
picture 26:16	26:9	75:17	racial 65:15	recognize
77:9	presumably	provided 21:16	66:10,20 67:5	22:25
place 19:3 44:2	49:21	26:22 28:6	reach 18:9,18,21	recommend
47:1,6,7 59:18	previous 31:14	38:18 39:2,8	read 29:13 31:21	57:7
83:6	33:4 49:14	41:22,23,24	31:25 39:14	recommendat
placed 31:13	previously 29:7	42:4 46:5	41:20 58:5,14	22:3 27:14
Plaintiff 1:12 2:3	38:19 39:22	52:12 56:6	58:17,19 68:6	28:16 35:8
2:15,17 5:2	42:22 51:25	59:24 69:12	68:15,20	37:12 43:20
6:2,22	83:6	70:4,9	82:19 84:12	46:12 50:14
Plaintiff's 4:13	print 43:23 46:7	public 84:15	85:6,10,14,18	57:3,5 65:5
38:22 39:4	<b>prior</b> 9:23 11:18	86:22	85:22 86:5	68:12 69:9
42:2	17:14 18:3	<b>pull</b> 27:7 39:18	ready 18:8	recommendat
Plaintiffs 1:5 3:2	22:16,24	41:16 64:9	25:13 31:24	21:7,25 28:11
<b>plan</b> 34:19,23	26:20 30:18	pulled 27:17,18	68:22	66:7
platform 18:8	32:11 44:5	28:2	real 12:19	recommended
play 21:24	52:5 57:14	purpose 42:4	realized 56:3	55:19 69:1
<b>played</b> 67:4,12	61:22,25 62:1	69:7,8,9	71:21	recommending
please 5:22	78:9 79:9	purposes 29:6	really 23:11 34:8	4:16 44:6
6:14 7:25	privacy 73:6	31:12	Ream 4:21	50:11 68:24
12:13 17:19	<b>PRN</b> 36:25 37:1	put 15:6 29:16	15:20 16:8	69:22
43:19 47:13	37:6,8,14,20	33:3 40:12	31:22 63:12,16	record 5:8 8:24
84:8,12,17	<b>PRNs</b> 37:21	54:25 66:24	79:16 80:25	9:2,3,5,7 31:11
point 22:23	probationary	putting 55:6	81:6,11	32:18,21,22
28:7 35:22	37:6,7,14,20		Ream's 79:14	32:24 33:1
48:6 63:19	37:21	Q	reason 37:4	42:7,17 49:2,3
65:2 69:18	problem 9:14	question 7:14,16	40:8 44:6	49:5,7 59:4,9
policies 14:20	33:19 53:13	21:20 23:8	50:18 72:13	60:2,7,9 61:11
<b>policy</b> 19:21	proceed 6:15	24:14,16,23	85:7,11,15,19	62:11,13,17,24
21:12 38:12	proceeding	27:4 29:11	85:23	65:13 72:8,22
46:16 56:17,18	6:12	30:4,5 39:1,17	reasons 69:21	73:4 74:2
57:6 64:13,14	process 18:11	42:16 44:8	recall 15:22,25	76:19 77:22
72:11,12,14	20:4 24:20	56:23 60:11	33:21 41:21	82:20
portion 58:2	37:9,18 53:8	61:2,4 62:14	52:23 54:20	records 26:2
position 22:18	produced 2:9	65:20,21	recap 9:12	42:3,3 47:19
36:23	6:17 51:14	66:17,24 67:3	receive 18:17	47:25 57:15
Possibly 67:15	53:23	71:21 73:2	20:18 38:7	62:9 70:16
potentially	progressive	75:9 76:22	63:4 69:1	72:4 79:23
35:25 36:4	34:17,19,23	81:14	received 16:14	82:3
	l	l	l	I

recruiting 11:10	11:21 18:20	responsible	59:7,9 65:25	29:3 31:8,12
11:16	57:16 61:25	15:11	66:4,17,21,22	35:2 37:17
refer 19:25	78:1,4	rest 25:8 37:15	67:24 68:8,14	39:19 51:22
20:2 34:16	reporter 2:12	55:5	68:24 69:8	53:3,11 54:12
referenced 18:2	3:20 5:5,21	<b>Results</b> 54:3,6	70:11,13 73:5	54:13 68:1
23:4	6:3,8,14 17:22	retained 4:25	73:13,20 74:19	69:19 73:22
referred 34:24	83:1	retrospect	77:15,25 78:10	73:23 81:22
referring 38:2	reporter's 5:17	55:15 67:15	79:14,16,20	section 35:16
reflected 69:6	reporting 23:12	return 18:24	79:23 81:9,19	36:6,8,10,16
regard 10:5 16:6	23:16	50:21 84:17	right-hand	37:6
28:10 33:20	reports 77:24	review 14:20	58:21 79:12	secure 24:20
Regardless	represent 5:23	19:1,13 20:24	80:1	see 8:6 13:7
73:7	6:22	26:21 34:9	Ritter 4:23	19:4,5 25:7,8
regards 21:7,13	reprimand 57:7	43:4,5,6	64:10,12,17,20	27:8,12,16
21:24 22:7	63:15 80:8	reviewed 27:18	65:4 80:22	32:15 37:22
26:1 27:25	reprimanded	44:10 53:21	river 8:5	39:6,20,24
72:21 75:15,19	28:12,13 55:9	reviewing	Road 8:1	40:1,16 41:1
region 30:23	56:9,15,19,20	28:22	<b>role</b> 11:15	42:24 43:12
related 14:3	56:22 59:16	<b>RFT</b> 43:17,17	roles 11:8 17:11	43:14 44:12
15:12 22:14	76:10 79:20	45:21	room 7:19 28:19	45:21 49:11
36:9 60:17	80:12 81:12	Rhonda 26:11	78:13	51:4,6,9,11,17
62:14 66:10	reprimands	27:21 28:18		51:23 53:14,18
relation 49:16	59:15	43:14 45:1	S	54:3,9,13,16
relevant 78:19	reprint 46:9	52:13 57:21	<b>S</b> 3:1 4:5	58:16,21 59:7
Religious 11:3	request 26:23	<b>Rhonda's</b> 57:19	<b>safe</b> 50:2	61:21 63:4,12
remember 8:19	43:11,18 70:1,4	Richardson 2:11	saved 25:2	64:1,18 65:12
13:5 30:14	requests 43:7	3:21 5:4,17	40:19 46:2	67:5,6,9,19,22
39:8 47:12	69:17	83:2,13 84:24	50:3	68:4 73:24
52:7 58:3	require 14:5	right 7:12 8:3	<b>saw</b> 58:13	74:3,10,21,22
63:17,18,21	35:18	9:8 10:5,13	<b>says</b> 37:7 38:13	75:5,5 77:10
66:11,15 71:2,5	required 13:20	11:2,6 12:22	39:2 42:4	77:15 79:12,25
75:7	43:6 45:3	13:9 17:9	43:4 44:21	80:3,20
remind 64:24	61:14	20:15 23:4	48:18 51:5,16	seeing 30:9
removed 36:15	requires 36:13	25:4,13,15,22	54:2 74:1	56:3
render 86:8	researched	25:24 28:22	77:13	seen 20:25
Renee 2:11 3:21	57:9	30:9 31:11	<b>Schupp</b> 28:19	27:8 29:14
5:4 83:2,13	resend 46:10	32:13 34:3,16	43:14 45:1	33:6,8,9,16
84:24	reserved 5:6	34:24 35:12	50:9	38:23 52:3,6
repeat 7:13	reserves 35:12	35:14,23 39:1	screen 25:18	52:10,21 66:2
rephrase 7:17	<b>resign</b> 46:10	39:22 41:1,9	50:24 51:6,7	72:12 75:12,18
replacing 17:10	resources 10:7	42:1,19 43:2	68:9	78:3
report 12:10,11	respond 49:21	45:12 47:22	scroll 31:23	send 45:18 46:8
29:16,17,18	response 43:13	48:1,4 49:8,9	33:6 65:7	55:23
41:16 55:11	responsibilities	49:22 50:12	seal 83:9	<b>sends</b> 49:19
64:9 67:10	60:20	51:23 52:8	search 24:4,7	senior 12:11
71:1 78:5	responsibility	53:1,4,17,20	25:4 54:2,5,8	19:15,19 56:11
reported 10:12	61:6	54:11 58:23	second 8:25	<b>sense</b> 59:13
	-	<u> </u>	·	1

75:15	46:12 50:6	sit 14:5	spoke 47:21	<b>Street</b> 3:4,7,11
sent 26:16	80:3,6,7,24	site 12:4,5 15:8	48:1	3:22 84:4,19
30:16 40:9	81:5 84:10,14	19:13,15 20:9	spoken 41:12	stuff 25:7
44:13,14,16,24	84:18 85:25	21:1 36:15	<b>St</b> 3:22 84:19	subject 37:8
45:4 47:8,10	signatures	40:9,18	staff 42:2	subparts 39:5
47:14 50:20	43:23 44:18	sites 16:21 40:6	stand 26:7	subscribe 86:10
58:10 77:7	46:1 50:4	40:7,13	48:14	subsection
separate 6:9	signed 43:24	sitting 44:2	<b>stands</b> 79:18	35:14
separation	44:1,17 45:8	situation 35:25	start 9:21 17:1	substance 86:7
61:23	<b>signs</b> 50:9	36:5	18:8 27:23	Success 4:9
serious 36:9	similar 10:14	situations 36:12	29:5 33:22	suggests 78:4
37:24	49:14 52:18	six 17:6 74:8	43:2	<b>Suite</b> 3:4,7,11
services 3:21	similarly 59:11	skip 34:21,21	started 9:10	84:4
5:19 79:19	simply 29:21	35:12	13:8 29:22	<b>Summit</b> 7:22 8:1
84:1	Sincerely 84:21	slice 80:14	starts 17:23	8:3
set 37:5 83:8	sir 7:2,4,18,20	<b>slide</b> 50:25	64:4	supervise 12:8
severity 37:10	8:8,13,20,23	slowly 17:21	state 2:12 12:5	13:22
56:13	9:11,16 10:18	<b>slur</b> 65:15 66:10	12:6 19:16 21:2	supervisor 15:8
<b>share</b> 50:23	11:13,20 12:9	66:20	25:6 40:13	supervisors
sheer 62:21	13:10,23 14:14	somebody	83:2,5 86:1	13:25 56:24
<b>SHEET</b> 85:1	15:2 22:13,20	15:12 21:21,21	state-level 21:3	support 10:9,10
sheets 84:10,14	23:19 26:3	58:15 71:20	stated 28:13	21:5 46:15
84:17	30:10 35:1,4,7	someone's	75:4	50:17 72:15
<b>shift</b> 37:2	35:10 39:7	30:3 31:5	statements 19:9	supported
short-staffed	40:3 41:3,13	<b>sorry</b> 15:17	20:18	46:14 50:16,18
37:3	41:19 43:1,16	24:15 27:10	<b>States</b> 1:1 2:1,14	supports 19:21
shorten 37:19	44:18 45:6,18	38:16 42:23	5:13	sure 14:9,20
shortens 37:21	49:10,18,24	43:10 47:8	stead 45:3	18:15 20:1,5
shorthand 5:4	50:5,8,10,13	49:12 54:12	<b>step</b> 10:16 20:3	23:5 25:16,23
show 45:24	51:3,6,8,10,12	58:19 63:8	20:11 34:21	29:24,25
50:23 51:21	51:18,20,24	68:19 72:25	35:3,5,8 77:1	35:17 39:13
67:17	53:16,19 54:4	74:14 76:25	steps 19:17 20:1	44:13 47:8
showed 28:9	54:7,10,14,17	81:23 82:7	28:20 35:11,12	58:5 60:13
showing 22:23	55:10 58:17	<b>sound</b> 7:5,9,10	38:10	61:5 65:23
shown 26:24	59:1,10 63:8	Southwest	Sterling 4:21	67:18 71:3
66:9	63:13 64:6,22	10:25	15:19 16:8	72:7 73:11
<b>shows</b> 45:20	66:1,5,8,12,16	speak 7:12	31:22 63:12,16	81:18,20,21,24
<b>sick</b> 37:3	67:20,23,25	76:23	79:14 80:8,24	surrounding
side 25:20,20	68:3,13 70:7	speaking 46:19	81:5,11	46:17
53:14,15 58:21	70:10,12 73:15	70:1	Sterling's 80:7	suspension
67:19,19 77:11	73:21 74:7	specifically	sticker 51:4	36:11
79:12 80:1	76:5,11 77:12	25:14 39:10	stipulate 5:24	swear 6:14
<b>sign</b> 45:7,16	77:14,17 78:17	69:13 75:4	6:7	swiftly 30:19
46:8 82:19	79:13,17,21,24	specifics 32:4	STIPULATED	<b>Switzer</b> 59:8,15
84:14	80:2,21 81:1,4	spell 12:13 17:19	5:1	59:20 61:9,11
signature 5:6	81:7,10 82:10	<b>spent</b> 17:6,9	stop 9:14 38:6	61:21
44:19 45:19	82:12,15	<b>split</b> 55:14	stored 25:11	<b>sworn</b> 2:9 6:17
		<u>.                                      </u>		·

system 14:3	36:15	35:18 36:23	72:19 82:1,11	37:23,23
15:6 25:12,12	ten 23:9	think 7:10,10	top 12:1 13:6	52:21 53:14,21
75:14	Teresa 4:7	8:11,14 9:9	29:6 34:3	55:14,17 58:18
systems 13:2	29:10	14:12 18:7,8	44:9 54:2	62:4 63:3,15
	terminated 4:11	25:13 33:24	58:5,16	65:24 67:19
T	39:23 64:24	33:25 41:24	topic 10:10	69:3 70:25
<b>T</b> 4:5	64:24	41:25 60:7	total 52:19	71:8,12 73:22
Tabitha 4:22	termination 4:16	72:5	track 23:17,21	74:12,14 78:14
take 6:23 18:12	26:23 35:9,15	thought 46:5	tracking 23:20	type 13:19 15:4
19:2,22 24:9	35:19,24 36:3	71:25	23:24 24:2	16:25 55:11
24:17 27:5	36:8 37:13	thousand 12:7	trained 17:12	69:11
38:10 46:22	43:7,12,18,21	three 45:3 58:6	41:17 80:17	<b>types</b> 15:10
48:23 60:18	44:7 46:13,23	74:14	training 12:22	typewriting 5:5
64:16 69:2	47:4 48:7	throat 7:7	13:1,2,14,17,19	typically 30:24
75:8	50:12,16 68:12	time 5:9 9:7	13:24,25 14:8	69:17
taken 1:12 2:17	69:10,22 70:2	16:4 18:15	14:13,19 15:5	
5:3 8:7 19:17	70:4	22:13 23:19	15:10,13,14,19	U
84:8 85:3	Terminations	25:20 30:8	16:3,7,15,22	<b>Uh-huh</b> 11:10
talk 18:20,24	69:17	31:7 32:21 33:1	16:23,25 17:4	14:14 22:5
20:16 22:5	terms 5:24	38:3 39:10	17:10,15,17	58:22 62:5
25:14 39:12	Terri 1:4 2:2,14	45:11 46:20	18:2,3 69:2	63:6,10 73:18
51:22 74:25	5:11 6:2,22	48:9,13,23	71:17	un-redacted
talked 15:3	31:21 39:24	49:2,7,19	trainings 12:25	56:3
21:14 47:24	84:5 85:2	52:20 56:13	13:4,11,15	uncomfortable
48:5 63:24	testified 6:17	56:20 58:24	15:23	47:1,6,7,11,15
63:25 77:6	66:19	59:3,18 60:19	transcribed 5:5	uncommon
talking 20:3	testimony	61:10 62:17	transcript 84:13	45:15
48:4 52:4,5	47:22 78:8	63:23 71:9,25	travel 16:21,23	understand 7:16
62:20	texted 77:8	72:11 76:16	treatment 13:1	23:16 24:16
talks 47:18	Thank 6:13 12:2	77:24 78:1	14:9,13 15:21	24:24 28:25
Tammie 4:20	14:15 17:25	79:16 82:19,21	16:2 50:19	29:24 47:22
15:25 68:14	19:23 20:17	83:6	tried 55:22	49:9 61:3,5,19
68:25 69:5	20:20 22:15	timeframe	true 86:8,12	62:18,20
82:5,8	22:21 26:12	62:18,22	trust 9:14	65:21 72:7
team 16:12	29:4 30:6	times 8:15	truth 8:18,21	understanding
teammates 18:9	32:19 33:18	25:19 41:23	82:11	7:1 53:24
technical 9:9,13	34:10 38:15	46:25 74:8,17	try 7:12 21:18	55:25 56:2
tel 3:5,8,12	40:23 41:1,17	title 10:6	25:17 29:2	59:6 76:14
tell 7:21 8:18,21	48:25 67:16	titled 35:15	31:20 33:6	78:19
11:8 14:7,17	68:23 82:15	38:21	43:25 50:25	Understood
23:13 30:13	82:17	today 7:1 8:16	58:14 68:7	14:7 15:16
33:12 34:7	<b>Thanks</b> 82:13	8:22 19:25	trying 32:10	16:18 21:23
45:10 54:19	thereon 86:9	26:20 33:4	58:12,13	22:7 25:9
57:1 58:8	thing 20:6	66:2 82:11	twice 65:5	30:5 34:10
59:14 64:7	30:14 72:2	Today's 5:9	two 6:4,8 9:20	38:15 45:16
78:12,15,22	80:9	told 30:20 32:7	13:8 17:3	45:20 46:11
temporarily	things 25:6	60:8 63:18	25:19 30:2	50:22 53:10
	<u> </u>			

E0:10 61:16		amamta 14:25		l———
59:19 61:16	various 40:13	warrants 14:25	witnessed 19:10	0
67:16	verbose 69:19	wasn't 31:2	witnesses	1
underway 8:11	version 26:24	32:5 61:9	20:12 28:6	
unedited 26:24	33:9,11,16,20	66:3 78:11	wondering 33:7	122:24 39:1
Unfortunately	33:25 34:4	way 23:12,15	72:2	51:17 52:11,12
55:13	39:2 46:1	24:8 27:12,24	word 15:18	54:12 58:24
United 1:1 2:1,13	49:22 50:3	33:12 63:1	69:20 78:10	1-800-280-33
5:13	52:24 56:3	80:14	work 9:17,18	3:23
universe 21:15	58:20	we'll 21:10 82:19	11:18 15:8 37:1	<b>1/1/17</b> 54:3
University	vertically 10:17	we're 5:19 9:1	37:5,23 61:17	<b>1/1/2017</b> 54:6
10:25	vice 26:8,9	25:4,5,6	64:8	<b>100</b> 18:7 63:23
unrelated 29:21	<b>video</b> 5:15,20	32:20 37:3	worked 9:19	65:22
30:2	video-recorded	43:24 51:1	30:23 46:3	<b>100180</b> 86:24
<b>Upton</b> 1:11 2:8	5:10	53:4 73:16	working 9:23	<b>1002</b> 80:20
4:15 5:11 6:16	videoconfere	<b>we've</b> 38:20	19:12 45:10,14	<b>1004</b> 81:3
6:21 9:8 25:17	1:11 2:8,11 83:5	66:13 81:15	67:1	<b>11</b> 39:14,17 41:2
31:12,17 33:3	videographer	<b>WebE</b> x 5:15,20	<b>worth</b> 72:5	74:2
38:16 41:17	5:8,18 6:13 9:1	6:3,8	wouldn't 14:5	<b>11:20</b> 45:4,17
42:21 49:8	9:6 32:20,25	Wednesday	53:7 66:22	<b>11th</b> 75:1,16
50:22 51:16	49:1,6 82:20	43:3	write 57:20	77:23,25
52:2 53:12,14	violated 21:12	week 37:4	writing 57:23	78:23 84:19
59:14 60:12	57:5 61:12	weigh 65:5	written 20:2,18	<b>12</b> 4:7 29:8,9
61:3 67:17	violation 19:21	weight 36:24	34:24 35:2,5	<b>12:58</b> 74:22
70:13 72:2	46:16 64:13,14	welcome 18:1	35:19 37:11,12	<b>12th</b> 63:4
77:2 78:22	72:11,13,14	29:5 47:16	37:17 58:1,16	<b>13</b> 11:5 43:3
81:22,25 82:11	virtually 19:4	50:21	68:15,25	48:19
82:16 83:4	<b>VP</b> 12:12 40:21	well-versed	wrong 80:18	<b>13th</b> 3:11 48:16
84:8 85:1	<b>VPO</b> 19:16 26:5	18:5	wrote 66:14	64:17
86:4,19	26:7	went 17:17 26:12		<b>14</b> 41:5
use 40:6,11	vs 1:5 2:4 5:11	26:22 27:18	X	<b>14th</b> 44:24
43:19,25 44:3		55:21 58:3	<b>X</b> 4:1,5	<b>15</b> 4:8 31:15
uses 40:5	W	71:3		<b>18</b> 13:8 41:21,21
usually 14:2	<b>wait</b> 18:23	Western 1:2,3	Y	42:2
19:16 21:18	want 20:1 21:20	2:1,2,14 5:14	<b>Yeah</b> 32:11	<b>1925</b> 8:1
22:5	21:21 29:8	5:14	38:14 65:23	<b>1943</b> 52:2
	31:8 34:12	WHEREOF 83:8	72:10	1st 22:10,16
V	39:12 43:2	white 41:10	<b>years</b> 9:20 11:5	25:25 26:10
v 40:22 84:5	50:23 51:15	Wicklander-Z	13:9 17:3	29:23 77:20
85:2	53:23 64:1	17:18,23	Yolanda 1:4 2:2	78:2,5,6
vacation 37:3	67:17 68:10	wish 76:8	2:15 5:11 84:5	76.2,5,0
vague 61:2	68:20 81:21	witness 5:6,21	85:2	2
65:20	wanted 36:17	6:4,8,14 22:9		<b>2</b> 51:17,19,19
<b>Val</b> 82:1	81:18,19	31:14 48:22	Z	52:11,12 53:5
Valicia 31:23	warning 35:6	48:25 72:25	<b>Z-U-L-W</b> 17:24	54:13 58:24
42:5 45:21	37:12	76:25 82:15	<b>zoom</b> 3:14	59:2 73:17
74:5,21	warranted	82:17 83:8	16:22 25:21,21	<b>2:23</b> 2:10 5:9
varies 13:17	46:22		54:1 77:15	<b>2:27</b> 9:2
<b>FULLOS</b> 10.17	10.22	84:12 85:1,25		<b>2.21</b> J.2

<b>2:32</b> 9:7	39:22	5		
<b>2:34</b> 74:22	<b>37</b> 4:12 38:21	<b>5</b> 45:9		
<b>20</b> 11:25 31:15	<b>38</b> 4:12	<b>50</b> 4:16		
84:2 86:14	<b>39</b> 4:11,15 42:23			
<b>20120411</b> 54:9	42:24	<b>51</b> 4:18,19		
<b>2014</b> 33:21	12.2 1	<b>53</b> 4:17		
<b>2017</b> 29:11,25	4	<b>560</b> 34:13		
63:5,12 64:19	<b>4</b> 1:13 2:9 5:9	<b>562</b> 36:7		
65:14	53:5 54:12,13	<b>563</b> 34:14 37:7		
<b>2018</b> 9:22	63:9,9,11 64:1	6		
40:25 59:9	64:2 73:17	<b>6</b> 4:3 45:9		
61:21 62:7,16	83:4 84:8			
<b>2019</b> 22:24	85:3	<b>60</b> 37:23		
	4:19-cv-00693	<b>615</b> 3:11		
30:12 31:1	5:13	<b>63101</b> 3:22		
33:17 43:3	4:19-cv-0069	84:19		
48:19 59:8	1:6 2:5	<b>64106</b> 3:11		
62:7,16 64:21	<b>4:47</b> 2:10 82:21	<b>64111</b> 3:4,8 84:4		
65:14 70:14	82:22	<b>644-2191</b> 3:23		
74:2 79:15		<b>65043</b> 8:2		
<b>2020</b> 1:13 2:9	<b>400</b> 3:7 84:4	<b>67</b> 4:20		
5:9 83:4 84:2	401 3:11	<b>68</b> 4:21		
84:8 85:3	<b>41</b> 4:16 49:11,12	7		
<b>20s</b> 79:3	50:1 67:21			
<b>22</b> 64:21	68:2	<b>7:42</b> 45:12,16		
<b>22nd</b> 62:7	<b>42</b> 4:15,17 53:17	<b>700</b> 3:4		
<b>23</b> 31:18	53:22 54:1,9	<b>711</b> 3:22 84:18		
<b>24</b> 31:16,19	54:12,15	<b>77</b> 4:10		
<b>24th</b> 79:15	<b>43</b> 4:18 51:23	<b>79</b> 4:22		
<b>26</b> 4:9 33:5	51:25 52:3,6			
<b>28th</b> 9:22	52:10,14,19	8		
<b>29</b> 4:7	53:18,21 54:5	8 29:9		
<b>29th</b> 29:10	54:9 65:24	<b>80</b> 4:23		
	73:14	814:24		
3	<b>44</b> 4:19 51:5,9	816)471-1301		
<b>3</b> 63:11	<b>45</b> 4:20 67:24	3:8		
<b>3/8/2019</b> 54:3,6	45-minute-to	816)756-5800		
<b>3:08</b> 32:21 33:1	19:7	3:5		
<b>3:40</b> 49:2	<b>4520</b> 3:4,7 84:4	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<b>3:48</b> 49:7	<b>46</b> 4:21 68:2	3:12		
<b>30</b> 12:1 37:22	79:12,14	9		
84:18	<b>47</b> 4:22 79:25			
<b>30th</b> 59:8 61:21	<b>48</b> 4:23 80:19	<b>9</b> 63:12		
62:7	<b>485</b> 50:2	90 37:22		
<b>31</b> 4:8	<b>486</b> 50:2	<b>940</b> 42:23		
<b>314</b> 3:23	<b>49</b> 4:24 81:2			
<b>33</b> 4:9,10 77:13	<b>490</b> 42:24			
<b>36</b> 4:11 39:20	<b>491</b> 42:24			

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us